

Reaction Chemistry & Engineering

# Investigation of support effects during ethanol steam reforming over a Ni/Sepiolite catalyst

Journal:	Reaction Chemistry & Engineering
Manuscript ID	RE-ART-03-2023-000181.R1
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Zhurka, Marinela; University of Aberdeen, School of Engineering Anderson, James; University of Aberdeen, McCue, Alan; Univ. Aberdeen, Chemistry Lemonidou, Angeliki; Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Chemical Engineering Kechagiopoulos, Panagiotis; University of Aberdeen,

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

# 1 Investigation of support effects during ethanol steam reforming over a

# 2 Ni/Sepiolite catalyst<sup>+</sup>

- 3
- 4 Marinela D. Zhurka,<sup>1</sup> James A. Anderson,<sup>1</sup> Alan J. McCue,<sup>2</sup> Angeliki A. Lemonidou,<sup>3</sup> Panagiotis N.
- 5 Kechagiopoulos<sup>1\*</sup>
- 6
- 7 <sup>1</sup> Chemical Processes & Materials Group, School of Engineering, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen,
- 8 AB24 3UE, UK
- 9 <sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, AB24 3UE, UK
- 10 <sup>3</sup> Laboratory of Petrochemical Technology, Department of Chemical Engineering, Aristotle University
- 11 of Thessaloniki, GR-54124 Thessaloniki, Greece
- 12
- 13 \* Corresponding author: p.kechagiopoulos@abdn.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available.

#### 14 Abstract

15 Sustainable hydrogen production can be achieved efficiently by steam reforming of bio-ethanol. The 16 use of low-cost and abundant minerals as catalyst supports can further improve the sustainability of 17 the process. In this work, a kinetic study of ethanol steam reforming is presented using a Ni catalyst 18 supported on natural sepiolite. Focus is placed on probing the effect of the support on the reaction 19 mechanism, which is found to depend on the catalyst calcination temperature and degree of hydration 20 of the sepiolite. Results suggest the presence of more than one adsorption sites where both 21 oxygenates and water can competitively adsorb, when the catalyst has not been exposed to 22 temperatures higher than 500°C. This bifunctional mechanism is further found to be affected by the 23 feed Steam/Carbon ratio. Thermally pre-treating the catalyst at 550°C leads to an irreversible removal 24 of support silanol groups that hinders the adsorption of reactants on sepiolite. Hydrating the non-25 thermally treated catalyst prior to experiments through steam exposure enhances the density of 26 support adsorption sites leading to kinetic performances in line with those over inert supports such as 27 SiO<sub>2</sub>. Steam reforming of acetaldehyde, a major product of ethanol steam reforming, is also carried 28 out leading to similar observations, building a consistent kinetic picture of the reaction over 29 Ni/Sepiolite.

30

Keywords: Ethanol steam reforming; Hydrogen production; Nickel, Sepiolite support, Reaction
 pathway

#### 33 1. Introduction

34 The basis of energy production systems of the world is fossil fuels, resulting in well recognised negative 35 environmental impact. A promising alternative to fossil fuels is hydrogen, which is a clean energy 36 carrier and can be derived sustainably from biomass.<sup>1</sup> The primary source of hydrogen production is 37 natural gas or coal, leading to high CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Recent studies have shown that biomass derived 38 oxygenated compounds are economically attractive and environmentally friendly energy sources.<sup>2</sup> 39 Bio-ethanol is an ideal candidate to produce H<sub>2</sub> through catalytic reforming (Ethanol Steam Reforming 40 - ESR), as it can be formed from renewable feedstocks (energy crops, forestry or agro-industry waste) 41 via fermentation and hydrolysis procedures.<sup>3</sup> Further advantages of bio-ethanol relate to its low 42 toxicity and safe handling (storage and transportation). Ethanol can further be regarded as a model 43 compound of alcohols in the aqueous fraction of bio-oil, the liquid product of biomass pyrolysis.<sup>4</sup>

44 The main products of ESR are  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$  according to the overall reaction (1) seen in Table 1, with 45 hydrogen production further influenced by the water gas shift (WGS) reaction (2). By-products can 46 also be formed, such as methane, carbon monoxide, ethylene, acetaldehyde, acetic acid, and acetone, 47 according to reactions (3) to (10).<sup>5</sup> The reaction pathways of ESR presented in the literature show 48 significant differences, depending on catalyst<sup>5</sup> and operating conditions,<sup>6</sup> further affecting hydrogen 49 production and product selectivities. Several research groups presented kinetic studies of ESR,<sup>7,8</sup> 50 suggesting, initially, dehydrogenation (3) and dehydration reactions (8) to occur, favoured by the basic 51 and acidic features of the catalyst, respectively, and the overall balance and strength of such sites.<sup>9</sup> 52 Acetaldehyde can form methane through decarbonylation (5), which can further undergo steam 53 reforming (6)-(7). Some catalysts reported in the literature can also promote the reaction of acetaldehyde with water to produce acetic acid (9),<sup>10,11</sup> which can decompose to methane and carbon 54 55 dioxide (10). Transition metals also tend to form encapsulating coke through the polymerization of 56 ethylene (13), which blocks the active sites, and filamentous coke via the Boudouard reaction (11), 57 which does not block active sites but can lead to metal segregation from the support.<sup>12</sup>

58 Many studies have suggested Ni as a suitable metal for ethanol steam reforming due to its high 59 activity, and low cost in comparison to noble metals.<sup>13</sup> Carbon deposition remains a major challenge 60 for Ni, although the nature of the support and operating conditions can prove critical in overcoming 61 this limitation. Thus, basic supports, high operating temperature and high steam to carbon ratio are 62 preferred as these can reduce coking without negatively impacting activity.

63 Currently, the interest in catalysts supported on minerals such as olivine, dolomite, attapulgite and sepiolite has increased due to their abundance, low cost, and high surface area. Sepiolite, a 64 65 phyllosilicate mineral, is an interesting catalyst support characterised by its porous inner structure and 66 fibrous morphology. Sepiolite has been widely studied as a catalyst support for a variety of 67 hydrotreating reactions,<sup>14–17</sup> where doping with potassium or lanthanum,<sup>18</sup> incorporating metal oxides<sup>19</sup> and preparing the catalyst via precipitation<sup>20,21</sup> have further been employed to enhance its 68 69 performance. Sepiolite has also been proven recently to be a favorable support for steam reforming reactions with its structural features contributing to high adsorption capacity with high stability.<sup>22,23</sup> 70 71 The steam reforming of bio-oil and its model compounds has specifically been reported on 72 Ni/Sepiolite, however the focus of these works was on performance analysis and not on the elucidation of the kinetics of the reaction <sup>24,25</sup>. Ni supported on natural sepiolite was further shown to 73 74 exhibit better activity during furfural steam reforming when compared to traditional supports (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 75 MgO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>).<sup>26</sup>

The present study reports on an extensive kinetic analysis of ESR over Ni/Sepiolite under a wide range of experimental conditions in a fixed bed reactor. Particular focus is placed on probing the effect of the support on the reaction mechanism, which is found to depend on the catalyst calcination temperature and degree of hydration of the sepiolite. Acetaldehyde kinetic experiments are also carried out to corroborate the findings of the work, building a consistent kinetic picture of the ESR reaction over Ni/Sepiolite.

#### 82 **2. Experimental**

#### 83 2.1. Sample preparation

84 The Ni/Sepiolite catalyst with nominal 10 wt.% Ni to the final weight of the solid was prepared by a 85 precipitation method using Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O (Merck, reagent grade) as precursor and untreated/fresh 86 sepiolite (Tolsa S.A., surface area 135 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) as support. Chemical analysis of the material indicated a 87 composition of SiO<sub>2</sub> (66.19 %), H<sub>2</sub>O (15.95 %), MgO (13.16 %), Na<sub>2</sub>O (1.71 %), Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (1.66 %), CaO (0.56 88 %),  $K_2O$  (0.41 %) and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (0.36 %). The solution of nickel nitrate was firstly added to a suspension of 89 the support material, adjusting the pH to 3.5 by the addition of nitric acid. This solution was heated to 90 90°C for 30 min before addition of an urea solution, which resulted in the controlled precipitation of 91 nickel hydroxide over the support. The suspension was filtered after 48 h and washed repeatedly with 92 distilled water. The sample was dried at 110°C in air for 16 h, heat treated in a N<sub>2</sub> flow (100 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>) 93 at 300°C for 3 h and then reduced in a 3.5%  $H_2$  in Ar flow (100 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>) at 500°C for 5.5 h,<sup>27</sup> before 94 cooling to ambient temperature in a flow of  $N_2$  and storing. Further details are provided in previous 95 studies.28,29

96

#### 2.2. Reactor setup

97 The kinetic experiments were carried out in a fully automated reaction system by PID Eng & Tech 98 (Micro Activity-effy unit). The reactants (a water/ethanol or water/acetaldehyde mixture) were fed by 99 an HPLC pump (Gilson 307). This feed was channelled through an evaporator, operating at 150°C, and 100 further mixed with N<sub>2</sub> before entering the reactor. An internally passivated stainless steel (SS316) fixed 101 bed reactor (9.1 mm i.d., total length 304.8 mm) was used, heated by a single-zone furnace providing 102 an isothermal region of 5 cm. The catalyst bed was diluted with  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> granules (1.5 mm average size) 103 at 1/9 catalyst/alumina weight ratio and placed within two layers of quartz wool on top of a fitted 104 porous plate. The  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> diluent was independently verified to be inert at the test conditions. A 105 Liquid/Gas separator was used to collect the liquid reactions products, while gas products were 106 analysed on-line in a HP5890 GC, equipped with a TCD detector and MS-5A and HS-T columns. Liquids

were analysed offline in a Thermo Scientific TRACE 1300 GC with an FID detector and a WAXMS Acolumn.

109

#### 2.3. Experimental conditions and parameters

110 Ahead of the experiments, the catalyst was treated in situ under a flow of N<sub>2</sub> at 500°C for 1h, and then 111 reduced at 500°C using a 5 % H<sub>2</sub> in N<sub>2</sub> flow of 100 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> for 1h. Reaction temperature was varied 112 from 300 to 600°C with a Steam/Carbon (S/C) ratio equal to 3  $mol_{H_2O}/mol_C$  (Section 3.2) at total 113 pressure of 1.7 bar and partial pressures of ethanol and water of 0.15 and 0.90 bar, respectively, 114 balanced by N<sub>2</sub>. All pressure values reported herein refer to absolute pressure, with total values 115 measured upstream of the catalyst bed. Volumetric flowrates were all measured at normal conditions. 116 The space time effect was examined by varying the feed flow from 97 to 330 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, with a S/C 117 ratio of 3, over a fixed catalyst mass (W/ $F_{t0,Eth/Acet}$  from 58 to 349  $g_{cat}$  s  $g_{Eth/Acet}$ <sup>-1</sup>) at 400°C and steady 118 pressure of 1.8 bar and partial pressures of ethanol and water of 0.21 and 1.29 bar, respectively, 119 balanced by  $N_2$  (Section 3.3). The partial pressure of water was varied from 0.26 to 1.56 bar at a total 120 pressure of 1.9 bar and partial pressure of ethanol of 0.13 bar at 400°C (Section 3.4.1). 121 Correspondingly, the partial pressure of ethanol was varied from 0.06 to 0.37 bar at a total pressure 122 of 1.9 bar and partial pressure of water of 0.74 bar at 400°C (Section 3.4.2). Additional partial pressure 123 variation experiments were carried out at the same temperature to investigate the effect of catalyst 124 thermal pre-treatment carrying out the latter at 550°C instead of 500°C (see Section 3.4.3 for details), 125 and degree of hydration of the support subjecting the catalyst to a flow of steam prior testing (see 126 Section 3.4.4 for details). The behaviour of acetaldehyde versus ethanol as a reactant was also 127 investigated, given the recognised role of the former as an important intermediate in ESR. A mass of 128 80 mg Ni/Sepiolite catalyst (sieved to a 300-500 μm fraction) was used in all experiments, resulting in 129 a catalyst bed of apparent packing density of 0.4 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

Standard criteria by Mears<sup>30</sup> and Weisz-Prater<sup>31</sup> were applied to ensure measurements were
 conducted under explicit kinetic control. Atomic C, H and O mass balance closure in all tests was in the

order of 100  $\pm$  5 %. The results presented in the following sections are expressed in terms of the following parameters, and are further compared with thermodynamic equilibrium, calculated via Gibb's free energy minimisation within the Aspen Plus software using the Peng-Robinson equation of state.

136 Conversion (%): 
$$X_{Eth/Acet} = \frac{F_{Eth/Acet}^{in} - F_{Eth/Acet}^{out}}{F_{Eth/Acet}^{in}} \times 100$$

137 Carbon Selectivity of compound y with n carbon atoms (%):  $S_c(y) = \frac{0.5nF_y^{out}}{F_{Eth/Acet}^{in} - F_{Eth/Acet}^{out}} \times 100$ 

138 Hydrogen yield (%): 
$$Y_{H_2} = \frac{F_{H_2}^{out}}{m^{F_{Eth/Acet}^{in}}} \times 100$$

with *m* being the number of hydrogen moles produced by the oxygenate's stoichiometric steam reforming, equal to 6 and 5 for ethanol and acetaldehyde, respectively. Turnover Frequency (TOF) was defined as the moles of ethanol or acetaldehyde converted per mole of Ni active sites per second and was calculated according to the below equation:

143 
$$TOF(s^{-1}) = \frac{X_{Eth/Acet} \cdot N_{Eth/Acet}^{in}}{w_{cat} \cdot w_{Ni} \cdot D \cdot AW_{Ni}}$$

where  $N_{Eth/Acet}^{in}$  is the inlet molar flow of ethanol or acetaldehyde (mol s<sup>-1</sup>),  $w_{cat}$  the catalyst weight,  $w_{Ni}$  the nominal weight composition of nickel (10%), D the metal dispersion of nickel calculated via H<sub>2</sub> TPD measurements (see below), and  $AW_{Ni}$  the atomic weight of nickel.

#### 147 2.4. Characterisation of fresh catalyst samples

TPD and TPR experiments have been conducted to characterize the metal surface of the catalyst and investigate the optimal reduction conditions, respectively. A TPDRO 1100 instrument was used with a TCD detector, with a trap bed placed before the detector to remove the moisture. Prior each experiment, samples were fully oxidised under a flow of 5%  $O_2$  in He with a heating rate of 10 °C/min up to 600°C. For the H<sub>2</sub>-TPD analysis the samples were re-reduced in situ by a flow of H<sub>2</sub> at 500°C for 153 1 h, then the system was purged with He for 20 min at 30°C. The chemisorption step took place under 154 a flow of 10%  $H_2/Ar$  for 1 h at 100°C, before measuring the desorbed  $H_2$  while heating until 600°C with 155 a 10°C min<sup>-1</sup> rate. Quantified H<sub>2</sub> desorbed was used to obtain the active metal dispersion D defined as 156 the ratio of the number of exposed Ni surface atoms to the total number of Ni atoms present in the 157 sample. For the TPR the samples were firstly dried at 200°C for 30 min under N₂ flow, then the system 158 was cooled down and the temperature was increased again until 800°C with a 10°C min<sup>-1</sup> temperature 159 ramp, using a 5%  $H_2/N_2$  flow. For Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) experiments, a small amount of 160 the sample (10 to 15 mg) was placed in an Al-based sample cup of a TG 209 F3 Tarsus apparatus 161 (NETZSGH, Germany) and heated up to 800 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min under a flow of air (50 cm<sup>3</sup>/min). 162 XRD patterns were obtained at room temperature on a Panalytical powder diffractometer to identify 163 crystalline phases apparent. The diffraction patterns were recorded over an angular range of 164 10°<20<90° with a step-size of 0.013°. A BET surface area analyser Micromeritics Tristar 3000 was used 165 to determine the total surface area of solid samples by using  $N_2$  as adsorbate at liquid nitrogen 166 temperature. Prior all characterisation analyses, fresh reduced samples were thermally treated under 167 a flow of  $N_2$  at 500°C (or 550°C) to simulate the procedures followed during catalytic testing.

- 168 **3. Results and Discussion**
- 169

#### 3.1. Characterization of Ni/Sepiolite catalyst and sepiolite

170

#### 3.1.1. Hydrogen temperature programmed reduction (H<sub>2</sub>-TPR)

The reduction behavior of Ni/Sepiolite catalysts thermally treated at 500°C and 550°C under N<sub>2</sub> was studied by TPR (Figure 1). The catalyst treated at 500°C presents 3 peaks at 430°C, 620°C and 750°C, which reflect the interaction of nickel with different components of the support. Specifically, the peak at 430°C corresponds to the reduction of NiO interacting with silica,<sup>26,32,33</sup> the peak at 620°C is associated with the reduction of NiO-MgO on the surface and the peak at 750°C relates to the reduction of NiO-MgO in the bulk.<sup>34,35</sup> Regarding the Ni/Sepiolite catalyst treated at higher temperature (550°C), a minor impact on the reduction temperatures is observed with similar peaks 178 appearing at 450°C, 630°C and 740°C. Based on the obtained TPR results and considering that before 179 experimental testing the catalyst was reduced at 500°C, the degree of Ni reduction was estimated to 180 be 49% and 51% for the sample thermally treated at 500°C and 550°C, respectively. These values differ 181 slightly to that obtained in prior work via isothermal reduction at 400°C (58%),<sup>29</sup> however, given the 182 consistent pre-treatment of the catalyst throughout experimental testing in the present work, it is 183 important that all measurements were carried out at identical initial conditions and, hence, degree of 184 reduction. Pre-reduction was carried out at these conditions to avoid collapse of the sepiolite 185 structure at higher temperatures, as discussed in following sections. Higher reduction temperature of 186 600°C has been tested previously, leading to a higher degree of reduction (95%),<sup>29</sup> however, at 187 concurrent significant structural modifications.

188

#### 3.1.2. Hydrogen temperature programmed desorption (H<sub>2</sub>-TPD)

189 The H<sub>2</sub>-TPD profiles of Ni/Sepiolite catalysts treated at 500°C and 550°C under N<sub>2</sub> are shown in Figure 190 2. Both samples presented three peaks at 85°C, 430°C and 540°C, with the low-temperature peak 191 corresponding to physical adsorption of hydrogen, and the high-temperature peaks originating from 192 chemisorbed hydrogen. Section S1.1 in the Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) presents the 193 detailed quantification of the TPD data. The Ni dispersion of the catalyst subjected to 500°C was 194 calculated to be 9.3%, while an increase in thermal pre-treatment temperature to 550°C led to a slight 195 decrease of the dispersion (7.4%) suggesting limited sintering of the metal particles. Considering that 196 the reduction of the catalyst prior the desorption step was carried out at 500°C (same as that applied 197 prior experimental testing), the amount of H<sub>2</sub> desorbed refers to the number of Ni sites exposed during 198 the actual experiments.

199

#### 3.1.3. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA)

The thermal stability of sepiolite and Ni/Sepiolite, both thermally treated at 500°C, was investigated by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). Section S1.2 in the ESI presents the detailed analysis of the thermograms of the samples, while this section summarizes the main findings. For both samples, five stepwise weight losses were identified assigned: i. to the loss of adsorbed and some zeolitic water up to approximately 150°C, ii. to the removal of the rest of the zeolitic water up to 300°C, iii. to the removal of bound water up to 530°C (causing a partial, reversible, folding in the structure of sepiolite), iv. to the dehydroxylation of sepiolite up to 670-690°C (causing the collapse of its structure), v. to the removal of the remaining hydroxyl groups up to 800°C. Ni/Sepiolite presented more loosely adsorbed water and zeolitic water, a difference attributed to the acid media used during catalyst preparation that could produce more silanol groups that could act as water adsorption sites.<sup>29</sup>

210

#### 3.1.4.Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area analysis

211 Detailed BET surface area results for the Ni/Sepiolite catalyst and sepiolite are discussed in Section 212 S1.3 in the ESI. Increasing the thermal treatment temperature of sepiolite and the Ni/Sepiolite catalyst 213 from 300°C up to 550°C, led to a decrease of the respective BET surface and micropore areas, in line 214 with the removal of bound water molecules demonstrated by TGA results in this temperature range. 215 The gradual loss of coordinated water can even lead to a structural folding of the internal channels of 216 the sepiolite, with the procedure shown to be reversible<sup>28,29,36</sup> or irreversible<sup>37,38</sup> depending on the 217 temperature that sepiolite has been heated at (Figure S2 in the ESI).<sup>27</sup>Type IV adsorption-desorption 218 isotherms of N<sub>2</sub> (Figure S3 in ESI) for Ni/Sepiolite and sepiolite are obtained for all samples and the 219 temperature they were treated at, indicating the presence of some meso-porosity. Isotherms for all 220 the samples show a Type H1 hysteresis loop corresponding to a porous structure material consisting 221 of well-defined pore channels.39

222

#### 3.1.5.X-Ray Diffraction

The crystalline phases in the sepiolite were determined by X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) after its pretreatment at 300°C, 500°C and 550°C with Section S1.3 in the ESI presenting detailed results. Diffractograms of Ni/Sepiolite samples did not show XRD patterns for Ni, consistent with previous reports attributing this to the formation of lamellar structures during the precipitation on the Ni precursor.<sup>27,29</sup> The XRD analysis of sepiolite indicated its structural change with increasing temperature, specifically the higher degree of irreversible folding of the sepiolite crystal, in agreementwith BET results.

#### 230 3.2. *Effect of temperature*

231 The effect of temperature for ESR over Ni/Sepiolite is presented in Figure 3. For all results presented 232 in this and following sections, unless otherwise stated, the catalyst sample used has been reduced and 233 heat treated at 500°C. Ethanol conversion increases from 13 to 58 % as temperature rises, with the 234 hydrogen yield following closely the trend, reaching a maximum value of 46 % at the highest 235 temperature (600 °C) studied (Figure 3a). Thermodynamics predict conversion to be 100 % at these 236 temperatures and much higher hydrogen yield values than those experimentally observed, indicative 237 that the results were not limited by equilibrium. Based on the high selectivities of  $CH_3CHO$ ,  $CH_4$  and 238 CO (Figure 3c and e), it is evident that ethanol dehydrogenation and decomposition reactions 239 dominate below 400°C. The low H<sub>2</sub> yield and CO<sub>2</sub> selectivity further indicate that ethanol reforming 240 and water gas shift reactions do not participate significantly at these low temperatures. The increasing 241 CH<sub>4</sub> selectivity up to 400°C, combined with the concurrent decreasing CO selectivity and overall low 242  $H_2$  yield, indicate the presence of the methanation reaction in line with prior studies on ethanol steam 243 reforming over Ni catalysts.<sup>13</sup> The increase in  $CO_2$  selectivity and hydrogen yield, while  $CH_4$ , CO and 244 CH<sub>3</sub>CHO selectivities decrease as the temperature rises from 400 to 600°C, suggest the progressive 245 promotion of WGS and reforming reactions. Trends are similar to those presented in our previous 246 work on ESR over Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>40</sup> indicative of the overall dominant role of the Ni metal in the reaction 247 mechanism. To further examine this fact, the temperature effect on the steam reforming of ethanol 248 over pure sepiolite was studied (Figure S6 in ESI). Very low conversions, below 8% were observed with 249 selectivity to  $C_2H_4$  being above 90% across the temperature range. Clearly, sepiolite alone cannot 250 activate the cleavage of the C-C bond in ethanol, suggesting that operation at 450°C or higher at the 251 presence of Ni is needed to ensure the efficient activation of reactants.

252 Considering that acetaldehyde is an important intermediate in the reaction pathway of ESR, 253 acetaldehyde steam reforming (reaction (14) in Table 1) was also studied under equivalent conditions, 254 ensuring partial pressures were the same as with the ethanol runs. As with ethanol, conversion and 255  $H_2$  yield are observed to increase with temperature but are again far from thermodynamic equilibrium 256 (Figure 3b). At temperatures higher than 450°C, acetaldehyde conversion appears to follow a different 257 trend to that observed at lower temperatures. This could indicate that thermal decomposition of 258 acetaldehyde is taking place, possibly upstream of the catalyst bed, resulting in the direct production 259 of  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  in the gas phase. The changing trend in the selectivities of these two compounds at 260 this temperature range further support this (see below.) It is worthy to note, though, the lower 261 conversion of acetaldehyde compared to ethanol at temperature below 450°C, which indicates that 262 the latter's conversion does not necessarily proceed via acetaldehyde, particularly considering that it 263 is the initial C-H bond scissions towards ethoxy and acetaldehyde that are typically considered as rate-264 limiting.<sup>8</sup> Indeed, in our previous work over Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub><sup>40</sup> it was demonstrated that at temperatures over 265 450°C the dominant conversion pathway of ethanol most likely involves a different surface reaction 266 intermediate, which, in an independent microkinetic modelling study from our group, was suggested 267 to be 1-hydroxyethyl.<sup>41</sup> The H<sub>2</sub> yield achieved is approximately equal to the case of ethanol, however, 268 given the metric's definition in acetaldehyde steam reforming reaction, this actually indicates a lower 269 hydrogen production, as ethanol has a higher hydrogen content of 3 moles of H<sub>2</sub> per carbon atom 270 compared to 2.5 for acetaldehyde.

The products of acetaldehyde steam reforming are CO,  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ ,  $CH_3COCH_3$  and  $H_2$  (Figure 3d and f). The carbon selectivities of CO,  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  indicate that the reaction network shares similarities to that of ESR. Decomposition and methanation reactions are clearly favoured at the lower temperatures with WGS having low activity, as indicated by the high and opposing trends of  $CH_4$  and CO selectivities as the temperature rises to 450°C. Reforming reactions towards hydrogen production dominate above that point, further enhanced by the WGS, clearly evident by the rising  $CO_2$  selectivity and increasing  $H_2$  yield. As commented above, under these conditions, where the S/C ratio is kept constant, results suggest a prominent role of the active metal in the reaction mechanism, with Ni being a well-knownmethanation and steam reforming catalyst.

280 An important difference between ASR and ESR is the detection of acetone at measurable quantities in 281 the liquid products of ASR. Acetone may be a product of aldol condensation of acetaldehyde to 3-282 hydroxybutanal (reaction (15) in Table 1),<sup>42–44</sup> the latter undergoing further dehydrogenation and 283 decarboxylation to  $CH_3COCH_3$ ,  $CO_2$  and  $H_2$  (reaction (16) in Table 1). The aldol condensation of 284 acetaldehyde over MgO has been particularly well-examined,<sup>45,46</sup> while the use of sepiolite as a 285 catalyst for condensation reactions due to its structure, consisting of octahedral sheets mainly of MgO, 286 has also been a matter of investigation.<sup>47</sup> In the current work, larger amounts of acetone were 287 observed at lower temperatures, potentially explaining also the relatively higher  $CO_2$  selectivity in this 288 temperature range in comparison to ESR. Nonetheless, this enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> productivity at low 289 temperatures, where WGS has been demonstrated to be low in activity, could also originate from the 290 presence of surface acetate species generated from adsorbed acetaldehyde.<sup>48</sup>

#### 291

#### 3.3. Effect of space time

292 The effect of contact time on the catalytic performance of ethanol and acetaldehyde steam reforming 293 over Ni/Sepiolite at 400°C and S/C=3 is presented in Figure 4. Conversion and  $H_2$  yield, plotted as a 294 function of W/F ( $g_{cat} s g_{Eth}^{-1}$  and  $g_{cat} s g_{Acet}^{-1}$ ) in panel a, are seen in both cases to rise with contact time. 295 For ethanol, the conversion follows a linear trend spanning from 16 to 67% across the W/F range 296 studied. Acetaldehyde conversion spans from 18 to 55% across the same range, however appears to 297 follow different slopes depending on W/F value. Considering the selectivity trends discussed below, 298 namely the very high acetone production, the varying conversion trend of acetaldehyde can be 299 attributed to a pronounced presence of condensation reactions at the higher W/F values.

Figure 4b shows the evolution of product selectivities with varying ethanol conversion. The selectivity of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO is seen to increase as conversion (and W/F) decreases and would clearly reach a finite value if conversion approached zero, evidence that acetaldehyde is a primary product of ESR. Opposite trends are observed for CO, CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>, which decrease with conversion's decrease, suggesting these are secondary products. The dehydrogenation of ethanol to acetaldehyde is responsible for its primary formation, while decomposition of the later or ethanol itself to CO and CH<sub>4</sub> and their subsequent reforming and shift reactions to CO<sub>x</sub> give rise to their secondary production. The effect of space time over Ni/Sepiolite is analogous to that observed over Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub><sup>40</sup> indicative of the active metal driving the overall reaction pathways under these conditions.

To examine the impact of the support, similar W/F variation experiments at 400°C were carried out 309 310 over natural sepiolite under steam reforming (Figure S7 in ESI) and decomposition (Figure S8 in ESI) 311 conditions. During decomposition, very low conversions were observed (0.5-4% depending on W/F 312 tested), well below those achieved over Ni/Sepiolite, and indicative of limited support activity in 313 activating ethanol. The only product identified was  $C_2H_4$  suggesting that sepiolite enables the 314 dehydration of ethanol. When steam reforming was tested over natural sepiolite slightly higher 315 conversions were observed (1-6% for same W/F range), but still much lower than with Ni/Sepiolite. 316 Selectivity to C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> again was very high, above 90% across all W/F values tested. Nonetheless, traces 317 of  $CO_2$  were also detected in the gas products, most likely originating from the gasification of carbon 318 deposits by steam, as  $C_2H_4$  is a well-known coke precursor. In all cases, the very high selectivity to 319 ethylene is indicative of sepiolite not being able to activate the cleavage of the C-C bond in ethanol. 320 In the following sections these findings and the role of the sepiolite support in explaining observed 321 catalytic trends will be further elaborated.

Finally, Figure 4c presents the effect of space time on product selectivities for ASR, where significant differences can be observed in relation to ESR. At lower conversion (and W/F) the selectivities of CO and  $CH_4$  are high and approximately equal at 40%. The  $CO/CH_4$  ratio in the product stream remains almost one for all space times tested, indicative of the dominant role of the acetaldehyde decomposition reaction (reaction (5) in Table 1). As contact time and conversion decrease, the selectivities of CO and  $CH_4$  increase tending to non-zero values, linked to their primary formation from 328 acetaldehyde decomposition. In contrast,  $CH_3COCH_3$  is clearly a secondary reaction product of ASR as 329 its selectivity is reduced with a decrease in conversion, reaching an almost zero value at the lowest 330 conversion studied. As discussed, its formation most likely originates from an acetaldehyde aldol 331 condensation reaction network proceeding in parallel to ASR. Finally, the selectivity of  $CO_2$  is 332 influenced by the multiple pathways that involve the species, with its creation affected by acetate 333 decomposition, acetone formation, WGS reaction and methane steam reforming reactions. 334 Nonetheless, the decreasing trend of its selectivity as conversion decreases and the nature of the 335 above reactions suggests  $CO_2$  to be a secondary reaction product of ASR.

#### 336 3.4. Effect of partial pressure of reactants

#### 337 3.4.1.Varia

#### 3.4.1. Variation of water partial pressure at 400°C

338 The effect of the partial pressure of water on ESR and ASR is shown in Figure 5. For these experiments, 339 total pressure was kept constant at 1.9 bar, while the partial pressure of ethanol or acetaldehyde and 340 total inlet flow were maintained at 0.13 bar and 213 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Water partial pressure 341 was varied between 0.26 and 1.56 bar, using N<sub>2</sub> as balance, resulting in a S/C variation from 1 to 6 342 mol<sub>H2O</sub>/mol<sub>c</sub>. The conversion of both ethanol and acetaldehyde are positively affected by increasing 343 water partial pressure, with H<sub>2</sub> yield following the conversion trend. Overall, similar conversion and 344 H<sub>2</sub> yield are achieved for both reactants, although at the higher S/C ratios studied conversion of 345 ethanol reaches greater values than the acetaldehyde. Interestingly, plotting the turnover frequency 346 (Figure 5b) versus water partial pressure shows that both ESR and ASR exhibit positive, and 347 approximately first order kinetics with respect to water. These findings are in contrast to the 348 commonly reported steam-independent kinetic behaviour of ESR over Ni<sup>49</sup> and other metals.<sup>50</sup> Also in 349 our previous work on ESR over Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>40</sup> and Ni or Rh supported on mixed oxides of CeO<sub>2</sub>-ZrO<sub>2</sub>-La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>51</sup> 350 a slightly negative order with respect to water was observed in agreement with steam-derived 351 intermediates not participating in the kinetically determining step. However, current results on the

Ni/Sepiolite catalyst suggest a critical role of the support in the kinetic mechanism, which appears tobe consistent for both ethanol and acetaldehyde reactions.

354 Further evidence of support participation in the kinetic mechanism is provided by the carbon 355 selectivities of ESR plotted against S/C variation in Figure 5c. Apart from S/C=1, where the supply of 356 water is sub-stoichiometric for ESR, increasing the partial pressure of water and the equivalent S/C 357 ratio is seen to lead to a decrease in the selectivity of CO<sub>2</sub> and conversely a rise in those of CO and CH<sub>4</sub>. 358 The much lower selectivity towards acetaldehyde in Figure 5c in comparison to that in Figures 3e or 359 4b can be attributed to the comparatively much lower partial pressure of ethanol used in these 360 experiments. In all cases, the observed selectivity profiles contrast with the conventionally expected 361 behaviour from an increase in the S/C ratio and the associated promotion of reforming and WGS 362 reaction pathways.<sup>40</sup> The carbon selectivities observed during ASR, presented in Figure 5d, display 363 milder trends, although still in line with the findings on ESR, with CH<sub>4</sub> and CO selectivities showing a 364 slight increase at the higher S/C ratios. A complex interplay between the metal and the support is 365 evident, where the availability of water appears to have a rate controlling influence on ethanol or 366 acetaldehyde conversion but at the same time does not lead to a promotion of secondary reaction 367 pathways.

368 These findings are tentatively attributed to the structure of sepiolite (Figure 6), consisting of six  $SiO_4$ 369 tetrahedra linked together to form a polymeric silica layer.<sup>52</sup> Between the silica layers there are  $MgO_6$ 370 octahedra arranged to give threefold strips leaving channels parallel to the fibre axis containing water 371 molecules. The external surface contains OH groups originating from the exposed sides of the 372 tetrahedral and the octahedral sheets, in the form of Si-OH and Mg-OH. Additionally, Si-OH groups are 373 produced when the Si–O–Si bridges linking the silicate layers are broken, leaving terminal OH groups. 374 It is known that acid media, which was used for the catalyst preparation, may attack the sepiolite 375 structure, and is expected to increase the number of Si-OH groups.<sup>29</sup> These OH groups are crucial as 376 precipitation-deposition of the nickel precursor appears to consume such hydroxyls. This preferential

#### **Reaction Chemistry & Engineering**

377 reaction indicates that most, if not all, of the precursor nucleation occurs at these locations and, 378 hence, that Ni is placed on the top part of the tetrahedral sheets and inside the open channels.<sup>28</sup> 379 Indeed, the nature of the exposed metal faces was determined by infrared (IR) CO adsorption in 380 previous work revealing the formation of layered Ni silicate precursors following precipitation and 381 indicating the production of mostly 2D Ni (100) crystal planes on the catalyst upon reduction.<sup>29</sup>

382 Furthermore, sepiolite's hydrophilic surface is recognized as responsible for increased adsorbent 383 behavior towards polar molecules such as water, ethanol and acetaldehyde.<sup>53</sup> The hydrophilicity of 384 sepiolite depends on the high density of the silanol groups (Si-OH) that can form hydrogen bonds with 385 the hydroxyl groups of ethanol and water.<sup>54,55</sup> It has indeed been suggested during phenol steam 386 reforming on a Ni catalyst supported over mixed Ti and Zn oxides of perovskite structure that the high 387 concentration of surface OH of the support was accountable for dual site adsorption.<sup>56</sup> Phenol's 388 functional group (OH) was found to interact not only with the metal active sites but also with the 389 surface hydroxyl groups leading to the adsorption of phenol on the support. Additionally, 390 experimental studies on ethanol<sup>57</sup> and acetic acid<sup>58</sup> reforming on Ni/Biochar indicated that O-391 containing functional groups of the support could affect the catalytic performance. The ethanol 392 decomposition and steam reforming experiments over natural sepiolite discussed in the previous 393 section and in Figures S6 to S8 in the ESI further demonstrate the adsorption and even dehydration of 394 ethanol on the support.

Considering the above and combined TOF and selectivity trends observed in the present work, a bifunctional mechanism is proposed that is summarized below, over two types of sites; the sepiolite surface sites (silanol groups), designated with S, and the Ni metal sites, designated with \*. In the simplified network below most reactions should be considered multi-step pathways.

- 399 1.  $CH_3CH_2OH/CH_3CHO + S \rightarrow CH_3CH_2OH \cdots S/CH_3CHO \cdots S$
- 400 2.  $H_2O + S \rightarrow H_2O \cdots S$
- 401 3.  $CH_3CH_2OH\cdots S + 2 * \rightarrow CH_3CHO\cdots S + H_2 + 2 *$
- 402 4.  $CH_3CH_2OH\cdots S + w * \rightarrow CH_4 + CO + H_2 + w * + S$

403	5.	$CH_3CHO\cdots S + x * \rightarrow CH_4 + CO + x * \rightarrow CH_4 + x * \rightarrow CH$	+S

405

6.  $CH_3CH_2OH\cdots S + H_2O\cdots S + y * \rightarrow 2CO + 4H_2 + y * +2S$ 

7.  $CH_3CHO\cdots S + H_2O\cdots S + z * \rightarrow 2CO + 2H_2 + z * +2S$ 

406 8.  $CO * + H_2O\cdots S + * \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2 + 2 * + S$ 

407 Ethanol/acetaldehyde and water can both adsorb and activate or dissociate onto the sepiolite surface 408 to generate  $C_2$  and steam intermediates, respectively (steps 1 to 2). As seen in Figures S6 to S8 in the 409 ESI, sepiolite alone is not able to activate the C-C bond. The  $C_2$  and steam derivatives need to then 410 migrate along the support surface to reach the metal, where a range of reactions can occur. Ethanol 411 can further dehydrogenate to acetaldehyde with participation of metal sites (3) C-C cleavage can 412 further occur either with ethanol and acetaldehyde dissociating to CH<sub>4</sub> and CO (4 and 5, respectively), 413 or via steam reforming (6 and 7, respectively). Finally, CO from the metal sites can further react to CO<sub>2</sub> 414 via the water gas shift reaction with participation from water from the support (8). Given the growth 415 of Ni in 2D planes, it is specifically suggested that C-C cleavage and secondary reactions take place 416 primarily at the metal-support interface, where sites of lower coordination would primarily exist. This 417 surface diffusion of  $C_2$  derivatives is likely driven by local concentration gradients at the periphery of 418 the metal particles, given that C<sub>2</sub> consumption occurs primarily there. The proposed mechanism aligns 419 with that for oxidative steam reforming of ethanol on Ir/CeO<sub>2</sub>, where, similarly, surface migration of 420  $C_2$  derivatives along the ceria support towards dissociation at the metal-support and interface was 421 revealed as rate-controlling.<sup>59</sup> Main difference to that work is that the adsorption of 422 ethanol/acetaldehyde and water over sepiolite is regulated by its degree of hydration and the 423 abundance of silanol surface groups.

As the partial pressure of water increases, more water adsorbs on sepiolite's adsorption sites, eventually activating and forming steam intermediates (such as OH). The addition of water could also hydrate sepiolite's surface possibly forming new silanol groups, through the conversion of siloxane groups (Si-O-Si).<sup>60</sup> Therefore, this could enhance the surface diffusion of ethanol/acetaldehyde towards the metal-support interface, indicating that the migration process of the oxygenates proceeds by binding to neighbouring silanols. As a result, a higher coverage of the oxygenates occurs on the 430 metal-support interface, leading to higher conversion but lacking the secondary reactions like the 431 WGS. This competitive process on the support and the interface could be responsible for the 432 enhancement of the TOF with increasing partial pressure of water. In the case of acetaldehyde, the 433 parallel aldol condensation pathway leading to the independent formation of CO<sub>2</sub> potentially further 434 affects the observed trends.

435

#### 3.4.2. Variation of ethanol/acetaldehyde partial pressure at 400°C

436 Figure 7a presents the effect of ethanol and acetaldehyde partial pressure on their respective 437 conversion and H<sub>2</sub> yield. These experiments were conducted while keeping constant the total pressure 438 at 1.9 bar, partial pressure of water at 0.74 bar and total inlet flow at 160 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, varying ethanol 439 or acetaldehyde from 0.06 bar (S/C = 6  $mol_{H_2O}/mol_c$ ) to 0.37 (S/C = 1  $mol_{H_2O}/mol_c$ ) bar, using N<sub>2</sub> as 440 balance. Given this experimental protocol, it is noted that the below experiments were carried out at 441 varying W/F<sub>Eth/Acet</sub> with focus placed on manipulating partial pressures in a methodological manner. A 442 decrease in the partial pressure of ethanol or acetaldehyde (or a respective S/C increase) was achieved 443 by reducing the oxygenate flow, justifying the increasing trend of conversion and  $H_2$  yield with S/C. In 444 our previous work,<sup>40,51</sup> following the exact same experimental protocol and using a range of metals 445 (Ni and Rh) and supports (SiO<sub>2</sub>,  $ZrO_2$ -La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and CeO<sub>2</sub>- $ZrO_2$ -La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), we consistently measured a positive reaction order with ethanol partial pressure. In line with several literature studies,49,61 this trend was 446 447 linked with the participation of an ethanol derived surface intermediate in the rate determining step. 448 A similar behaviour would be expected from acetaldehyde, considering that most oxygenates, e.g., ethylene glycol,<sup>62</sup> and hydrocarbons, e.g., methane,<sup>63</sup> have been found to show similar kinetic trends 449 450 during steam reforming, with acetaldehyde specifically being a major intermediate in ESR. 451 Nonetheless, the TOF achieved (Figure 7b), shows a slightly negative order for both ethanol and 452 acetaldehyde.

The observed trend is consistent with the observations made in the previous section, further supporting the presence of a bifunctional mechanism. In these experiments the partial pressure of 455 water was kept constant, but the increasing partial pressure of each oxygenate could promote water's 456 substitution by the ethanol/acetaldehyde on sepiolite's surface (through hydrogen bonds formed 457 between the oxygenates and the silanol groups). At high oxygenate to water ratio, a low surface 458 diffusion rate of the oxygenates towards the metal-support interface would be observed, due to the 459 discontinuous support active sites (silanols). Subsequently, a decreased coverage of the oxygenates 460 on the metal-support interface would be expected, explaining the drop in the TOF. The latter can 461 possibly also be further negatively affected by the rising availability of water to adsorb on and saturate 462 the metal active surface, as shown previously.<sup>40</sup> However, as the oxygenate to water ratio decreases, 463 more silanol groups are expected eventually leading to an increased coverage of the metal-support 464 interface by ethanol or acetaldehyde.

465 Figure 7c presents the carbon selectivities of ESR in terms of S/C ratio for these experiments. Similar 466 behaviour, as that discussed in Section 3.4.1, is observed, with the selectivity to  $CO_2$  decreasing and 467 the selectivities of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO increasing with higher S/C. Along the lines of the previous discussion, 468 the rise of the oxygenate to water ratio leads to a lower ethanol/acetaldehyde concentration, 469 accompanied also with a high water concentration, at the metal-support interface explaining the 470 attained selectivities. In these experiments, even the sub-stoichiometric S/C=1 point follows the 471 discussed trend, which can possibly be related to the much higher partial pressure of water compared 472 to the previous experiments (0.74 bar versus 0.26 bar). For ASR carbon selectivities (Figure 7d), the 473 trends with S/C are less significant, possibly due to lower adsorption of acetaldehyde on the silanol 474 groups. However, they can still be considered to be in line with the discussed support effects, as the 475 carbonyl-containing group of acetaldehyde could also interact with the silanol groups.<sup>64</sup> Specifically, 476 the proposed higher active metal coverage with water as the partial pressure of the oxygenates 477 increases agrees with the rising selectivity to acetone and decreasing selectivity to CO, both linked 478 with the rise in water-derived surface intermediates.

#### 3.4.3. Influence of catalyst thermal pre-treatment

480 The temperature is recognised as having a key effect on the dehydration of sepiolite as already 481 discussed on the TG analysis presented on Section 3.1.3. Heating the catalysts at a temperature range 482 between 530°C and 570°C is claimed to cause the irreversible/permanent removal of the surface 483 silanol groups.<sup>65</sup> DRIFT spectra of dried unreduced sepiolite and Ni/Sepiolite collected at 100°C 484 intervals up to 500°C have demonstrated the progressive removal of free and adjacent hydroxyls on 485 silicon atoms.<sup>28,29</sup> The highest temperature that the catalyst is routinely exposed to during the previous 486 runs is the reduction temperature and thermal treatment with  $N_2$  both taking place at 500°C. In order 487 to investigate the effect of the support on the reaction mechanism the catalyst sample was subjected 488 to an in situ thermal treatment with  $N_2$  at a temperature of 550°C for one hour instead of 500°C. 489 effectively eliminating the surface silanol groups of the support. Following reduction at 500°C, partial 490 pressure variation kinetic measurements at 400°C identical to Sections 3.4.1 and 3.4.2 were carried 491 out. The impact of this thermal treatment on active nickel surface was quantified via TPD (Section 492 3.1.2) showing a small decrease in Ni dispersion from 9.3 to 7.4 %. The latter was considered when 493 determining TOF values presented in the following.

494 Figure 8a presents the ethanol conversion and the  $H_2$  yield achieved by varying the ethanol and water 495 partial pressure plotted versus the S/C ratio. Decreasing the partial pressure of ethanol (increasing 496 S/C), the ethanol conversion and H<sub>2</sub> yield are seen to increase steadily, whereas increasing the partial 497 pressure of water (and S/C) resulted in a mild decrease of conversion with  $H_2$  yield still displaying a 498 slight rise. The results are very much in line with those using  $Ni/SiO_2$ ,<sup>40</sup> which, given the inert support 499 used, can be considered characteristic of metal-dominated pathways. Ethanol conversion in 500 experiments where partial pressures were varied is overall lower than that achieved with the 501 untreated catalyst (Figure 7a), however this can be justified by the reduced dispersion of the thermally 502 pre-treated catalyst. The conversion achieved during experiments where the water partial pressure 503 was varied is actually higher than that with the untreated catalyst (Figure 5a), despite the reduced 504 active metal area, and is largely constant, evidencing the kinetic independence of the reaction to

505 steam and its intermediates<sup>40</sup> once support effects have been eliminated. This is further demonstrated 506 by the TOF values achieved for these runs, (Figure 8b), where a positive reaction order for ethanol and 507 a mildly negative order for water are seen, evidence of ethanol dissociation/dehydrogenation being 508 rate limiting and catalyst surface saturation by water having a negative effect on the rate.

509 The TOF values obtained for all partial pressure variation experiments are further compared between 510 each other and against those over Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> (taken from Zhurka et al.<sup>40</sup>) in Table 2 and in graphical form 511 in Figure S9 in the ESI. As has been shown, the thermal treatment above 540°C results in the 512 destruction of the structure of sepiolite and the irreversible removal of surface silanol groups,<sup>65</sup> which 513 should lead to reduced adsorption of reactants on sepiolite. Given the 2D growth of Ni during 514 precipitation for the untreated catalyst, the thermal pretreatment possibly also revealed more sites 515 of lower coordination, restructuring Ni towards 3D particles. It is important to note that the TOF 516 obtained in these experiments, where water partial pressure was varied, is always higher than that 517 with the untreated catalyst and only at the highest S/C is the untreated catalyst able to achieve TOF 518 values close to those of the thermally treated sample. This is strong evidence that Ni is indeed 519 primarily deposited on the external surface of the sepiolite, in line with the discussion in Section 3.4.1 and the observations of Anderson et al.<sup>27</sup> Hence, even though the thermally treated catalyst has less 520 521 Ni sites due to the sintering of the metal (based on the slightly decreased Ni dispersion value), these 522 sites are potentially of higher activity. The very similar trends and values achieved for the thermally treated catalyst in comparison to Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> further support this claim.<sup>65</sup> Other studies where sepiolite 523 524 was used as catalyst support,<sup>22,26</sup> have involved much higher calcination temperatures ( $\geq 600^{\circ}$ C) 525 resulting in permanent removal of surface OH.

The carbon selectivities obtained in these experiments are finally presented in Figure 8c and d, respectively. In both cases,  $CO_2$  selectivity increased with S/C ratio while the selectivities of  $CH_4$ , COand  $CH_3CHO$  all decreased, in very good agreement with previous works all evidencing that an excess of water favours the secondary reactions. Results are indicative of the water gas shift reaction and the 530 oxidation of CH<sub>x</sub> surface species being accelerated by the abundance of steam derived intermediates,

- 531 namely O and OH surface species.
- 532

#### 3.4.4. Influence of catalyst degree of hydration

533 To further probe the interaction of the sepiolite support with ethanol and water under reactive 534 conditions, a hydration pre-treatment was applied, and its impact was investigated via partial pressure 535 variation experiments. The untreated catalyst, as used in Sections 3.4.1 and 3.4.2, following its 536 reduction at 500°C, and prior experiments at 400°C, was exposed to a flow of 0.2 ml/min water and 537 50 cm<sup>3</sup>/min N<sub>2</sub> at 400°C for 1 h. At reaction conditions this feed amounted to a mixture of 83% 538 concentration of steam in N<sub>2</sub>. Between testing each experimental condition, the catalyst was exposed 539 again to a similar flow of steam, with all other aspects of the experiments carried out in an identical 540 way. The conversion and H<sub>2</sub> yield for these experiments are shown in Figure 9a, where the higher 541 values obtained by varying the ethanol partial pressure in comparison to the case of the thermally 542 treated catalyst (Section 3.4.3) are clear.

543 More importantly, a positive order for ethanol is again obtained (Figure 9b) in contrast to the results 544 over the untreated catalyst sample (Section 3.4.2), further supporting the proposal that in the 545 experiments over the untreated catalyst the decreased silanol group concentration on sepiolite's 546 surface is determining the performance. The pre-treatment procedure led to a high degree of 547 hydration of sepiolite's surface, increasing the concentration of silanol groups, enhancing surface 548 diffusion of the oxygenates towards the metal-support interface, where C-C bond scission and 549 reforming reactions occur. For the case of the water partial pressure variation experiments, a positive 550 order is still obtained over the hydrated catalyst (Figure 9b), but with a much milder slope in 551 comparison to the untreated catalyst. Potentially, the hydration process led to increased adsorption 552 and activation of steam, or the high partial pressure of ethanol used in these runs was still able to 553 displace a small fraction of the water. Nonetheless, as also seen in Table 2 the TOF values obtained

are in the range of the thermally treated catalyst and even closer to those over Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub>, hence again
 strongly indicate that ethanol activation is proceeding largely unhindered.

Selectivities obtained further support these observations, (Figure 9c and d), since in both experiments where partial pressure is varied CO<sub>2</sub> selectivity is found to increase, whereas CH<sub>4</sub> and CO selectivities are seen to decrease, again in agreement with a mechanism where secondary reactions are promoted by steam derived intermediates. It can be concluded that the hydration procedure resulted in the enhancement of the surface diffusion of the oxygenates onto sepiolite's surface towards the metalsupport interface, at the same time preventing catalyst particle sintering, achieving as such optimal catalytic performance both in terms of selectivity and TOF.

#### 563 4. Conclusions

564 Kinetic measurements of the ethanol and acetaldehyde steam reforming reactions over a Ni/Sepiolite 565 catalyst were carried out at a wide variety of operating conditions. Results obtained over catalyst 566 samples supported on natural sepiolite and exposed to temperatures up to 500°C suggested a critical 567 role of the support. A positive reaction order for water and a negative reaction order for both ethanol 568 and acetaldehyde were obtained, in contrast to conventional observations on other hydrocarbons and 569 oxygenates reforming. A bifunctional mechanism was proposed with both steam and oxygenates 570 being able to adsorb on the support, namely on sepiolite silanol groups. The abundance of the latter 571 was further suggested to influence surface diffusion of the oxygenates towards the active metal. 572 Results over catalyst samples subjected to thermal, or hydration pre-treatment processes 573 corroborated this conclusion. In both cases, a positive reaction order for the oxygenate and an almost 574 zero reaction order for water were obtained in line with metal dominated pathways over inert 575 supports. Thermal pre-treatment at 550°C or above leads to the removal of surface silanol groups, 576 preventing the adsorption of the reactants on the support. Through the full hydration of sepiolite 577 before each experiment the increase of surface silanol groups was suggested to enhance the surface 578 diffusion of the oxygenates towards the metal-support interface. TOF values obtained with pretreated catalyst samples are higher than the untreated cases and similar to those obtained with inert SiO<sub>2</sub> supported Ni, further concluding that the majority of Ni is deposited on the external surface of sepiolite and not in its channels. Finally, ethanol and acetaldehyde were found to follow similar reforming pathways, consisting of primary decomposition or dehydrogenation followed by secondary water gas shift and reforming, with a parallel aldol condensation pathway for acetaldehyde leading further to acetone formation.

#### 585 Author contributions

- 586 MDZ: Investigation, Writing Original Draft. JAA: Resources, Supervision. AJM: Resources, Writing -
- 587 Review & Editing. AAL: Resources, Writing Review & Editing. PNK: Conceptualization, Methodology,
- 588 Writing Review & Editing, Supervision.

#### 589 Conflicts of interest

590 There are no conflicts to declare.

#### 591 **Bibliography**

- 5921D. Tang, G. L. Tan, G. W. Li, J. G. Liang, S. M. Ahmad, A. Bahadur, M. Humayun, H. Ullah, A. Khan593and M. Bououdina, State-of-the-art hydrogen generation techniques and storage methods: A
- 594 critical review, *J Energy Storage*, 2023, **64**, 107196.
- C. K. R. Pocha, W. Y. Chia, Silvanir, T. A. Kurniawan, K. S. Khoo and K. W. Chew, Thermochemical
  conversion of different biomass feedstocks into hydrogen for power plant electricity
  generation, *Fuel*, 2023, **340**, 127472.
- S. H. Mohd Azhar, R. Abdulla, S. A. Jambo, H. Marbawi, J. A. Gansau, A. A. Mohd Faik and K. F.
  Rodrigues, Yeasts in sustainable bioethanol production: A review, *Biochem Biophys Rep*, 2017, **10**, 52–61.

601	4	M. N. Uddin, K. Techato, J. Taweekun, M. Mofijur, M. G. Rasul, T. M. I. Mahlia and S. M.
602		Ashrafur, An overview of recent developments in biomass pyrolysis technologies, Energies
603		(Basel), 2018, <b>11</b> , 3115.
604	5	P. Rosha, A. Jelle and H. Ibrahim, Recent advances in hydrogen production through catalytic
605		steam reforming of ethanol: Advances in catalytic design, Can J Chem Eng, 2023, 1–21.
606	6	W. H. Chen, P. P. Biswas, H. C. Ong, A. T. Hoang, T. B. Nguyen and C. Di Dong, A critical and
607		systematic review of sustainable hydrogen production from ethanol/bioethanol: Steam
608		reforming, partial oxidation, and autothermal reforming, Fuel, 2023, <b>333</b> , 126526.
609	7	S. Crowley and M. J. Castaldi, Mechanistic insights into catalytic ethanol steam reforming using
610		isotope-labeled reactants, Angewandte Chemie - International Edition, 2016, 55, 10650–10655.
611	8	D. Zanchet, J. B. O. Santos, S. Damyanova, J. M. R. Gallo and J. M. C. Bueno, Toward
612		understanding metal-catalyzed ethanol reforming, ACS Catal, 2015, 5, 3841–3863.
613	9	Y. Guan, Y. Li, R. A. van Santen, E. J. M. Hensen and C. Li, Controlling reaction pathways for
614		alcohol dehydration and dehydrogenation over FeSBA-15 catalysts, Catal Letters, 2007, 117,
615		18–24.
616	10	G. Busca, T. Montanari, C. Resini, G. Ramis and U. Costantino, Hydrogen from alcohols: IR and
617		flow reactor studies, <i>Catal Today</i> , 2009, <b>143</b> , 2–8.
618	11	L. Barattini, G. Ramis, C. Resini, G. Busca, M. Sisani and U. Costantino, Reaction path of ethanol
619		and acetic acid steam reforming over Ni-Zn-Al catalysts. Flow reactor studies, Chemical
620		Engineering Journal, 2009, <b>153</b> , 43–49.
621	12	K. Shi, X. An, X. Wu and X. Xie, Modification strategies for enhancing anti-coking of Ni-, Co-
622		based catalysts during ethanol steam reforming: A review, Int J Hydrogen Energy, 2022, 47,
623		39404–39428.

624	13	S. Bepari and D. Kuila, Steam reforming of methanol, ethanol and glycerol over nickel-based
625		catalysts-A review, Int J Hydrogen Energy, 2020, <b>45</b> , 18090–18113.

- S. K. Maity, B. N. Srinivas, V. V. D. N. Prasad, A. Singh, G. M. Dhar and T. S. R. P. Rao, Studies on
  sepiolite supported hydrotreating catalysts, *Stud Surf Sci Catal*, 1998, **113**, 579–590.
- S. Inoue, T. Takatsuka, Y. Wada, S. Nakata and T. Ono, A new concept for catalysts of asphaltene
  conversion, *Catal Today*, 1998, 43, 225–232.
- 630 16 A. J. Aznar, E. Gutiérrez, P. Díaz, A. Alvarez and G. Poncelet, Silica from sepiolite: Preparation,
- 631 textural properties, and use as support to catalysts, *Microporous Materials*, 1996, **6**, 105–114.
- X. L. Zhai, M. Y. Jia and Y. S. Shen, Structure and properties of Ni/Sepiolite catalyst, *Chin J Chem*,
  2005, 23, 557–561.
- L. Yang, H. Fang, S. Xiong, P. Liu and H. Luo, Catalytic properties of nickel/sepiolite promoted
  with potassium and lanthanum in adiponitrile hydrogenation under mild conditions, *Royal Society of Chemistry*, 2016, **6**, 60933–60939.
- 637 19 A. Guerrero-Torres, C. P. Jiménez-Gómez, J. A. Cecilia, C. García-Sancho, J. J. Quirante-Sánchez,
- J. M. Mérida-Robles and P. Maireles-Torres, Influence of the incorporation of basic or
  amphoteric oxides on the performance of Cu-based catalysts supported on sepiolite in furfural
  hydrogenation, *Catalysts*, 2019, **9**, 315.
- D. Jovanovic, R. Radovic and L. Mares, Nickel hydrogenation catalyst for tallow hydrogenation
  and for the selective hydrogenation of sunflower seed oil and soybean oil, *Catal Today*, 1998,
  43, 21–28.
- C. P. Jiménez-Gómez, J. A. Cecilia, R. Moreno-Tost and P. Maireles-Torres, Selective furfural
  hydrogenation to furfuryl alcohol using Cu-based catalysts Supported on clay minerals, *Top Catal*, 2017, **60**, 1040–1053.

- T. Liang, Y. Wang, M. Chen, Z. Yang, S. Liu, Z. Zhou and X. Li, Steam reforming of phenol-ethanol
  to produce hydrogen over bimetallic Ni-Cu catalysts supported on sepiolite, *Int J Hydrogen Energy*, 2017, **42**, 28233–28246.
- 650 23 3,379,505, United States Patent and Trademark Office, 1968.
- 651 24 S. Liu, M. Chen, L. Chu, Z. Yang, C. Zhu, J. Wang and M. Chen, Catalytic steam reforming of bio-
- oil aqueous fraction for hydrogen production over Ni-Mo supported on modified sepiolite
  catalysts, *Int J Hydrogen Energy*, 2013, **38**, 3948–3955.
- 654 25 M. Chen, X. Li, Y. Wang, C. Wang, T. Liang, H. Zhang, Z. Yang, Z. Zhou and J. Wang, Hydrogen
- 655 generation by steam reforming of tar model compounds using lanthanum modified Ni/sepiolite
- 656 catalysts, *Energy Convers Manag*, 2019, **184**, 315–326.
- S. Sayas and A. Chica, Furfural steam reforming over Ni-based catalysts. Influence of Ni
  incorporation method, *Int J Hydrogen Energy*, 2014, **39**, 5234–5241.
- J. A. Anderson, M. T. Rodrigo, L. Daza and S. Mendioroz, Influence of the support in the
  selectivity of nickel/clay catalysts for vegetable oil hydrogenation, *Langmuir*, 1993, 9, 2485–
  2490.
- J. A. Anderson and M. Galan-Fereres, Precursor-support interactions in the preparation of
   sepiolite-supported Ni and Pd catalysts, *Clay Miner*, 1999, **34**, 57–66.
- J. Anderson, S. Falconer and M. Galán-Fereres, Ni/sepiolite hydrogenation catalysts Part 1:
   Precursor–support interaction and nature of exposed metal surfaces, *Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosc*, 1997, 53, 2627–2639.
- 667 30 M. A. Vannice, *Kinetics of Catalytic Reactions*, Springer, 2005.
- P. B. Weisz and C. D. Prater, Interpretation of measurements in experimental catalysis,
   *Advances in Catalysis*, 1954, 6, 143–196.

670	32	G. T. Wurzler, R. C. Rabelo-Neto, L. V. Mattos, M. A. Fraga and F. B. Noronha, Steam reforming
671		of ethanol for hydrogen production over MgO - Supported Ni-based catalysts, Appl Catal A Gen,
672		2016, <b>518</b> , 115–128.
673	33	F. Wang, L. Xu and W. Shi, Syngas production from CO2 reforming with methane over core-
674		shell Ni@SiO2 catalysts, Journal of CO2 Utilization, 2016, 16, 318–327.
675	34	S. Sayas, N. Vivó, J. F. Da Costa-Serra and A. Chica, Toluene steam reforming over nickel based
676		catalysts, Int J Hydrogen Energy, 2020, In Press, Corrected Proof.
677	35	M. Menor, S. Sayas and A. Chica, Natural sepiolite promoted with Ni as new and efficient
678		catalyst for the sustainable production of hydrogen by steam reforming of the biodiesel by-
679		products glycerol, <i>Fuel</i> , 2017, <b>193</b> , 351–358.
680	36	Y. Grillet, J. M. Cases, M. Francois, J. Rouquerol and J. E. Poirier, Modification of the Porous
681		Structure and Surface Area of Sepiolite Under Vacuum Thermal Treatment, Clays Clay Miner,
682		1988, <b>36</b> , 233–242.
683	37	C. Serna, J. L. Ahlrichs and J. M. Serratosa, Folding in sepiolite crystals, Clays Clay Miner, 1975,
684		<b>23</b> , 452–457.
685	38	H. Nagata, S. Shimoda and T. Sudo, On dehydration of bound water of sepiolite, Clays Clay
686		Miner, 1974, <b>22</b> , 285–293.
687	39	Z. A. Alothman, A review: Fundamental aspects of silicate mesoporous materials, Materials,
688		2012, <b>5</b> , 2874–2902.
689	40	M. D. Zhurka, A. A. Lemonidou, J. A. Anderson and P. N. Kechagiopoulos, Kinetic analysis of the
690		steam reforming of ethanol over Ni/SiO2 for the elucidation of metal-dominated reaction
691		pathways, <i>React. Chem. Eng.</i> , 2018, <b>3</b> , 883–897.

692	41	F. Tijani Ahmed Afolabi, C. Li and P. N. Kechagiopoulos, Microkinetic modelling and reaction
693		pathway analysis of the steam reforming of ethanol over Ni/SiO2, Int J Hydrogen Energy, 2019,
694		<b>44</b> , 22816–22830.

- T. Nishiguchi, T. Matsumoto, H. Kanai, K. Utani, Y. Matsumura, W. J. Shen and S. Imamura,
  Catalytic steam reforming of ethanol to produce hydrogen and acetone, *Appl Catal A Gen*,
  2005, **279**, 273–277.
- T. Umegaki, K. Kuratani, Y. Yamada, A. Ueda, N. Kuriyama, T. Kobayashi and Q. Xu, Hydrogen
  production via steam reforming of ethyl alcohol over nano-structured indium oxide catalysts, *J Power Sources*, 2008, **179**, 566–570.
- R. Padilla, M. Benito, L. Rodríguez, A. Serrano, G. Muñoz and L. Daza, Nickel and cobalt as active
  phase on supported zirconia catalysts for bio-ethanol reforming: Influence of the reaction
  mechanism on catalysts performance, *Int J Hydrogen Energy*, 2010, **35**, 8921–8928.
- T. Yan, W. Dai, G. Wu, S. Lang, M. Hunger, N. Guan and L. Li, Mechanistic Insights into One-Step
  Catalytic Conversion of Ethanol to Butadiene over Bifunctional Zn-Y/Beta Zeolite, *ACS Catal*,
  2018, 8, 2760–2773.
- G. Pomalaza, M. Capron, V. Ordomsky and F. Dumeignil, Recent Breakthroughs in the
  Conversion of Ethanol to Butadiene, *Catalysts*, 2016, 6, 203.
- A. Corma and R. M. Martín-Aranda, Alkaline-substituted sepiolites as a new type of strong base
  catalyst, *J Catal*, 1991, **130**, 130–137.
- M. C. Sanchez-sanchez, R. M. N. Yerga, D. I. Kondarides, X. E. Verykios and J. L. G. Fierro,
  Mechanistic Aspects of the Ethanol Steam Reforming Reaction for Hydrogen Production on
  Pt,Ni and PtNi Catalysts Supported on γ-Al2O3, *Journal of physical chemistry*, 2010, **114**, 3873–
  3882.

715	49	M. Patel, T. K. Jindal and K. K. Pant, Kinetic study of steam reforming of ethanol on Ni-based
716		ceria-zirconia catalyst, Ind Eng Chem Res, 2013, <b>52</b> , 15763–15771.
717	50	N. R. Peela and D. Kunzru, Steam Reforming of Ethanol in a Microchannel Reactor : Kinetic
718		Study and Reactor Simulation, Ind Eng Chem Res, 2011, 50, 12881–12894.
719	51	M. D. Zhurka, A. A. Lemonidou and P. N. Kechagiopoulos, Elucidation of metal and support
720		effects during ethanol steam reforming over Ni and Rh based catalysts supported on (CeO2)-
721		ZrO2-La2O3, Catal Today, 2020, In Press, Corrected Proof.
722	52	M. F. Brigatti, E. Galan and B. K. G. Theng, in <i>Developments in Clay Science</i> , 2006, vol. 1, pp. 19–
723		86.

- 724 53 H. Marsh and F. Rodríguez-Reinoso, *Activated Carbon*, 2006, vol. 2.
- Y. Lv, F. Hao, P. Liu, S. Xiong and H. Luo, Improved catalytic performance of acid-activated
  sepiolite supported nickel and potassium bimetallic catalysts for liquid phase hydrogenation of
  1,6-hexanedinitrile, *J Mol Catal A Chem*, 2017, **426**, 15–23.
- N. Volle, F. Giulieri, A. Burr, S. Pagnotta and A. M. Chaze, Controlled interactions between
  silanol groups at the surface of sepiolite and an acrylate matrix: Consequences on the thermal
  and mechanical properties, *Mater Chem Phys*, 2012, **134**, 417–424.
- K. S. Baamran and M. Tahir, Ni-embedded TiO2-ZnTiO3 reducible perovskite composite with
  synergistic effect of metal/support towards enhanced H2 production via phenol steam
  reforming, *Energy Convers Manag*, 2019, **200**, 112064.
- A. T. F. Afolabi, P. N. Kechagiopoulos, Y. Liu and C. Z. Li, Kinetic features of ethanol steam
  reforming and decomposition using a biochar-supported Ni catalyst, *Fuel Processing Technology*, 2021, 212, 106622.

- Y. Wang, Z. Zhang, S. Zhang, Y. Wang, S. Hu, J. Xiang and X. Hu, Steam reforming of acetic acid
  over Ni/biochar catalyst treated with HNO3: Impacts of the treatment on surface properties
  and catalytic behaviors, *Fuel*, 2020, **278**, 118341.
- W. Cai, F. Wang, C. Daniel, A. C. Van Veen, Y. Schuurman, C. Descorme, H. Provendier, W. Shen
  and C. Mirodatos, Oxidative steam reforming of ethanol over Ir/CeO 2 catalysts: A structure
- sensitivity analysis, *J Catal*, 2012, **286**, 137–152.
- S. L. Warring, D. A. Beattie and A. J. McQuillan, Surficial Siloxane-to-Silanol Interconversion
  during Room-Temperature Hydration/Dehydration of Amorphous Silica Films Observed by
  ATR-IR and TIR-Raman Spectroscopy, *Langmuir*, 2016, **32**, 1568–1576.
- O. A. Olafadehan, A. A. Ayoola, O. O. Akintunde and V. O. Adeniyi, Mechanistic Kinetic Models
  for Steam Reforming of concentrated crude ethanol on Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 2015, **10**, 633–653.
- M. A. Christiansen and D. G. Vlachos, Microkinetic modeling of Pt-catalyzed ethylene glycol
  steam reforming, *Appl Catal A Gen*, 2012, **431**, 18–24.
- J. Wei and E. Iglesia, Isotopic and kinetic assessment of the mechanism of reactions of CH<sub>4</sub> with
   CO<sub>2</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O to form synthesis gas and carbon on nickel catalysts, *J Catal*, 2004, **224**, 370–383.
- M. A. Natal-Santiago, J. M. Hill and J. A. Dumesic, Studies of the adsorption of acetaldehyde,
  methyl acetate, ethyl acetate, and methyl trifluoroacetate on silica, *J Mol Catal A Chem*, 1999,
  140, 199–214.
- A. Torró-Palau, J. C. Fernández-García, A. C. Orgilés-Barceló, M. M. Pastor-Blas and J. M. Martín Martínez, Structural modification of sepiolite (natural magnesium silicate) by thermal
   treatment: Effect on the properties of polyurethane adhesives, *Int J Adhes Adhes*, 1997, 17,
   111–119.



**Figure 1.** H<sub>2</sub>-TPR measurements of Ni/Sepiolite thermally treated at 500°C and 550°C.

763



**Figure 2.** H<sub>2</sub>-TPD profiles of Ni/Sepiolite catalyst thermally treated at 500°C and 550°C.





Figure 3. Temperature effect on (top) conversion and H<sub>2</sub> yield for ESR (a) and ASR (b), (middle) carbon selectivities of CO<sub>2</sub>, CO for ESR (c) and ASR (d), and (bottom) carbon selectivities of CH<sub>4</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>CHO for ESR (e) and CH<sub>4</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub> for ASR (f) compared with the equilibrium (W/F<sub>Eth/Acet</sub> = 91.8 g<sub>cat</sub> s  $g_{Eth/Acet}$ <sup>-1</sup>, P = 1.7 bar, S/C = 3) (lines connecting experimental points are visual aids).



778Figure 4.  $W/F_{t0}$  effect on ethanol and acetaldehyde conversion and  $H_2$  yield at 400°C (a) and carbon779selectivities of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CH<sub>3</sub>CHO or CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub> versus ethanol (b) and acetaldehyde (c)780conversion. (P = 1.8 bar, S/C = 3) (lines are visual aids).



**Figure 5.** Partial pressure effect of water on (top) ethanol and acetaldehyde conversion and H<sub>2</sub> yield (a) and logarithm of reaction rate of ESR and ASR with respect to logarithm of water partial pressure (b), and (bottom) carbon selectivities of ESR products (c) and ASR products (d) at 400°C (P = 1.9 bar, V<sub>tot</sub> = 213 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>). For panels (a), (c) and (d) the partial pressure effect is presented as a S/C variation with numbers on plots annotating the equivalent partial pressures of water in bar (panels a, c, and d: lines are visual aids).



- 792 Figure 6. Schematic representation of sepiolite structure showing open channels, internal pores,
- 793 molecular and crystalline structure.



**Figure 7.** Partial pressure effect of ethanol and acetaldehyde on (top) the respective conversion and H<sub>2</sub> yield (a) and logarithm of reaction rate of ESR and ASR with respect to logarithm of ethanol and acetaldehyde partial pressure (b), and (bottom) carbon selectivities of ESR products (c) and ASR products (d) at 400°C (P = 1.9 bar, V<sub>tot</sub> = 160 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>). For panels (a), (c) and (d) the partial pressure effect is presented as a S/C variation with numbers on plots annotating the equivalent partial pressures of ethanol or acetaldehyde in bar (panels a, c, and d: lines are visual aids).



**Figure 8.** Partial pressure effect (top) of ethanol and water on the respective conversion and H<sub>2</sub> yield (a) and logarithm of reaction rate of ESR with respect to logarithm of water and ethanol partial pressure (b), and (bottom) partial pressure effect of ethanol (c) and water (d) on carbon selectivities of ESR products at 400°C, on thermally pre-treated Ni/Sepiolite catalyst at 550°C (P = 1.9 bar,  $V_{tot}^{Ethanol} = 160 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$ ,  $V_{tot}^{Water} = 213 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$ ). For panels (a), (c) and (d) the partial pressure effect is presented as a S/C variation with numbers on plots annotating the equivalent partial pressures of ethanol or water in bar (panels a, c, and d: lines are visual aids).



**Figure 9.** Partial pressure effect (top) of ethanol and water on the respective conversion and H<sub>2</sub> yield (a) and logarithm of reaction rate of ESR with respect to logarithm of water and ethanol partial pressure (b), and (bottom) partial pressure effect of ethanol (c) and water (d) on carbon selectivities of ESR products at 400°C, on Ni/Sepiolite hydrated in situ at 400°C (P = 1.9 bar, V<sub>tot</sub><sup>Ethanol</sup> = 160 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, V<sub>tot</sub><sup>Water</sup> = 213 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>). For panels (a), (c) and (d) the partial pressure effect is presented as a S/C variation with numbers on plots annotating the equivalent partial pressures of ethanol or water in bar (panels a, c, and d: lines are visual aids).

824 **Table 1.** Ethanol and acetaldehyde steam reforming main reactions

(1) $CH_3CH_2OH + 3H_2O \rightleftharpoons 6H_2 + 2CO_2$	(2) $CO + H_2O \rightleftharpoons CO_2 + H_2$
(3) $CH_3CH_2OH \rightleftharpoons CH_3CHO + H_2$	(4) $CH_3CH_2OH \rightleftharpoons CH_4 + CO + H_2$
(5) CH <sub>3</sub> CHO $\rightleftarrows$ CH <sub>4</sub> + CO	(6) $CH_4 + H_2O \rightleftharpoons CO + 3H_2$
(7) $CH_4 + 2H_2O \rightleftharpoons CO_2 + 4H_2$	(8) $CH_3CH_2OH \rightleftharpoons C_2H_4 + H_2O$
(9) $CH_3CHO + H_2O \rightleftharpoons CH_3COOH + H_2$	(10) $CH_3COOH \rightleftharpoons CH_4 + CO_2$
(11) $2CO \rightleftharpoons CO_2 + C$	(12) $CH_4 \rightarrow C + 2H_2$
(13) $C_2H_4 \xrightarrow{polymerisation} Coke$	(14) $CH_3CHO + 3H_2O \rightleftharpoons 5H_2 + 2CO_2$
(15) $2CH_3CHO \rightarrow CH_3CH(OH)CH_2CHO$	(16) $CH_3CH(OH)CH_2CHO + H_2O \rightarrow CH_3COCH_3 + CO_2 + 2H_2$

- **Table 2.** TOF values obtained during ethanol and water partial pressure variation experiments using the base case Ni/Sepiolite catalyst sample treated at
- $500^{\circ}$ C, the thermally pre-treated sample at  $550^{\circ}$ C, and the hydrated sample at  $400^{\circ}$ C. TOF values over Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> from Zhurka et al.<sup>40</sup> are provided for comparison.
- $P_{H_{2}O}$  variation experiments carried out at constant  $P_{Eth}$  of 0.13 bar, while  $P_{Eth}$  variation experiments carried out at constant  $P_{H_{2}O}$  of 0.74 bar.

$P_{H_2O}$	S/C	Base case	Treated at 550°C	Hydrated at 400°C	Ni/SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>40</sup>	$P_{Eth}$	S/C	Base case	Treated at 550°C	Hydrated at 400°C	Ni/SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>40</sup>
(bar)			(mol <sub>Eth</sub>	n s⁻¹ mol <sub>Ni</sub> ⁻¹)		(bar)			(mol <sub>Et</sub>	<sub>h</sub> s⁻¹ mol <sub>Ni</sub> ⁻¹)	
0.26	1	0.056	0.314	0.263	0.321	0.06	6	0.188	0.113	0.176	0.172
0.52	2	0.087	0.306	0.285	0.297	0.07	5	0.170	0.118	0.177	0.172
0.78	3	0.127	0.303	0.295	0.280	0.09	4	0.167	0.119	0.180	0.191
1.04	4	0.185	0.299	0.331	0.271	0.12	3	0.148	0.118	0.198	0.221
1.30	5	0.288	0.289	0.338	0.264	0.18	2	0.134	0.139	0.229	0.256
1.56	6	0.386	0.284	0.337	0.255	0.37	1	0.123	0.211	0.258	0.357

# **Supplementary Information**

# Investigation of support effects during ethanol steam reforming over a Ni/Sepiolite catalyst

Marinela D. Zhurka,<sup>1</sup> James A. Anderson,<sup>1</sup> Alan J. McCue,<sup>2</sup> Angeliki A. Lemonidou,<sup>3</sup> Panagiotis N. Kechagiopoulos<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Chemical Processes & Materials Group, School of Engineering, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, AB24 3UE, UK

<sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, AB24 3UE, UK

<sup>3</sup> Laboratory of Petrochemical Technology, Department of Chemical Engineering, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, GR-54124 Thessaloniki, Greece

\* Corresponding author: p.kechagiopoulos@abdn.ac.uk

# Contents

S1.	Adc	litional characterization results	. 2
<i>S1</i> .	1.	Hydrogen temperature programmed desorption (H <sub>2</sub> -TPD)	. 2
S1.	2.	Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA)	. 2
S1.	3.	Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area analysis	. 3
S1.	4.	X-Ray Diffraction	. 6
S2.	Adc	litional results figures	. 7
S3.	Bibl	iography	11

# S1.Additional characterization results

## S1.1. Hydrogen temperature programmed desorption (H<sub>2</sub>-TPD)

Table S1 presents the detailed data from the H<sub>2</sub> TPD measurements used for the calculation of dispersion of the Ni/Sepiolite catalyst samples thermally pre-treated at 500°C and 550°C. For the determination of exposed surface Ni sites, the dissociative adsorption of H2 is assumed, according to the reaction:  $H_2 + 2M_s \rightleftharpoons 2M_s - H$ , resulting in a stoichiometry factor n = 2.

**Table S1**. Detailed  $H_2$  TPD data used for the calculation of dispersion of the Ni/Sepiolite catalyst samples thermally pre-treated at different temperatures.

Pre-treatment	H <sub>2</sub> adsorbed	Surface Ni sites	W <sub>cat</sub>	Total Ni sites	Dispersion
temperature (°C)	(mol)	(mol)	(mg)	(mol)	(%)
500	1.191×10 <sup>-5</sup>	2.382×10 <sup>-5</sup>	150	2.556×10 <sup>-4</sup>	9.32
550	9.443×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.889×10 <sup>-5</sup>	150	2.556×10 <sup>-4</sup>	7.39

## S1.2. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA)

The thermal stability of sepiolite and Ni/Sepiolite, both thermally treated at 500°C, was investigated by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), with Figure S1 presenting the thermograms of the samples.



Figure S1. Thermogravimetric profiles of sepiolite and Ni/Sepiolite thermally treated at 500°C.

Both samples undergo stepwise weight loss with increasing temperature. Regarding sepiolite, observations are in agreement with results presented in various studies.<sup>1–4</sup> The first weight loss of 0.7% up to 100°C is assigned to the loss of all types of water - adsorbed (W) and some zeolitic (ZW<sub>1</sub>) water. The second endothermic mass loss of 0.7% up to 300°C is due to the removal of the rest of the zeolitic water (ZW<sub>2</sub>). It should be noted that there is no clear distinction between the dehydration temperatures of the interparticle water and the zeolitic water. The third endothermic mass loss of 0.6%, between 300°C and 530°C, relates to the removal of bound water (BW). The loss of bound water up to 530°C could cause a partial (reversible) folding in the structure of sepiolite. The fourth endothermic mass loss of 0.8% between 530°C and 670°C originates from the dehydroxylation (SW<sub>1</sub>) of sepiolite causing the collapse of its structure. The last mass loss of 1.4% up to 800°C is attributed to the removal of remaining hydroxyl groups (structural water, SW<sub>2</sub>). Regarding Ni/Sepiolite, as with sepiolite, five stepwise weight losses are identified with main differences observed on the associated temperatures. Specifically, the first weight loss of 1.9% concludes at 180°C, the second mass loss of 0.8% proceeds up to 300°C, the third weight loss of 0.3% occurs up to 530°C, the fourth mass loss of 0.4% completes at 690°C, while up to 800°C a further mass loss of 1% is noted. Comparing the TGA profiles of sepiolite and Ni/Sepiolite, it is observed that the latter presents more loosely adsorbed water and zeolitic water and less bounded and structural water. This difference could be attributed to the modification of sepiolite's structure during the catalyst preparation, where the acid media could alter sepiolite's surface producing more silanol groups which could act as water adsorption sites.<sup>5</sup>

## S1.3. Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area analysis

The BET surface areas of Ni/Sepiolite catalyst and sepiolite are presented in Table S2. Values for 500°C and 550°C were obtained following procedures described in Section 2.4 of the main manuscript. Values at 300°C refer to the catalyst sample heat treated in a N<sub>2</sub> flow at 300°C but prior it being subjected to any higher temperature, namely reduction at 500°C and any subsequent thermal treatments (see Section 2.1 of the main manuscript). The data for 300°C are included for comparison and were obtained from prior works of the authors.<sup>5,6</sup>

**Table S2**. BET and micropore area of sepiolite and Ni/Sepiolite treated at 300°C (from Anderson et al.<sup>5,6</sup>), 500°C and 550°C.

Samples	В	ET area (m² g⁻	<sup>1</sup> )	Micropore area (m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )			
Sumples	300°C	500°C	550°C	300°C	500°C	550°C	
Sepiolite	121	108	104	17	2	0.6	
Ni/Sepiolite	157	143	133	50	42	39	

The BET surface area of sepiolite thermally treated at 300°C was found to be 121 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, with the micropore area found equal to 17 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>. Upon increasing the thermal treatment temperature up to 550°C, the BET surface area decreased to 104 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, and the micropore area to 0.6 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>. These values are in general agreement with those reported in literature for the BET surface of sepiolite ranging between 80-350 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>7,8</sup> As demonstrated by the TGA profiles, upon heating, sepiolite can be dehydrated, with low temperatures (below 250°C) being adequate for the removal of zeolitic water, while higher temperatures (up to about 550°C) being required for the removal of bound water molecules. The gradual loss of coordinated water can even lead to a structural folding of the internal channels of the sepiolite, with the procedure shown to be reversible<sup>5,6,9</sup> or irreversible<sup>10,11</sup> depending on the temperature that sepiolite has been heated at (Figure S2).



Figure S2. Schematic representation of hydrated (a), dehydrated (b) and folded (c) sepiolite structure.

Similarly, higher BET surface and micropore areas are observed for Ni/Sepiolite catalyst treated at 300°C (157 and 50 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) in comparison to Ni/Sepiolite treated at 550°C (133 and 39 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>). Interestingly, the BET and micropore areas of Ni/Sepiolite were higher than sepiolite possibly due to the incorporation of the active phase. Similar observations were made over Ni/Clay catalysts, suggesting the increase of BET area being the result of the metal deposition via the precipitation method and associated textural changes.<sup>12</sup> Type IV adsorption-desorption isotherms of N<sub>2</sub> (Figure S3) for Ni/Sepiolite and sepiolite are obtained for all samples and the temperature they were treated at, indicating the presence of some meso-porosity. Ni/Sepiolite treated at 300°C presents the largest meso-porosity of all samples, as evidenced by the wider hysteresis loop. Isotherms for all the samples show a Type H1 hysteresis loop corresponding to a porous structure material consisting of well-defined pore channels.<sup>13</sup>



Figure S3. Adsorption-desorption isotherms of  $N_2$  of sepiolite treated at 300°C (a) and 500°C (b) and Ni/Sepiolite treated at 300°C (c) and 500°C (d).

Pore size distribution data presented in Figure S4 corroborate the above observations. Even though the number of data points are not enough to accurately characterize the distribution of mesopores, sepiolite samples treated at different temperatures overall show similarities between each other in terms of mesoporosity (Figure S4a), while the same holds for Ni/Sepiolite samples treated at different temperatures (Figure S4b). As such, the small differences observed between the two types of samples can be mainly attributed to the incorporation of the metal and the associated precipitation procedure applied as discussed above.



**Figure S4**. Pore size distribution data of sepiolite (a) and Ni/Sepiolite (b) treated at different temperatures.

#### S1.4. X-Ray Diffraction

The crystalline phases in the sepiolite were determined by X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) after its pretreatment at 300°C, 500°C and 550°C, with results shown in Figure S5. Diffractograms of Ni/Sepiolite samples did not show XRD patterns for Ni, consistent with previous reports attributing this behaviour to the formation of lamellar structures during the precipitation on the Ni precursor.<sup>5,12</sup> The XRD analysis of sepiolite revealed that increasing the temperature from 300°C to 550°C resulted in sepiolite's structural change. Specifically, the elimination of the peak at 7.35° (1.202 nm) for the sample treated at 550°C reveals the higher degree of the irreversible folding of the sepiolite crystal, in agreement with BET results.<sup>1</sup> Additionally, the slight increase in the peak at 8.9°, with a simultaneous reduction of the d-spacing from 1.030 to 1.024 nm, indicated the formation of sepiolite anhydrite.<sup>14</sup> The structural folding is further supported by the reduced peaks at 23.5° and 20.8°, with the respective d-spacing decreasing from 0.378 to 0.376 nm and from 0.441 to 0.439 nm, and the elimination of peaks from 40° to 90°.<sup>1</sup> For the pretreated sample at 300°C the peak at 31.1° is attributed to dolomite impurities. For samples treated at higher temperatures this peak disappears either due to dolomite's decomposition<sup>14</sup> or the formation of calcite from dolomite's calcination as indicated by the appearance of a new peak at 28.1°.11



**Figure S5**. X-ray diffraction patterns of sepiolite samples treated at 300°C, 500°C and 550°C. (S: sepiolite, C: calcite and D: dolomite)

# S2.Additional results figures



**Figure S6**. Temperature effect on ethanol conversion (a) and carbon selectivities of  $CO_2$  and  $C_2H_4$  (b) over natural sepiolite. (W/F<sub>Eth</sub> = 91.8 g<sub>sep</sub> s g<sub>Eth</sub><sup>-1</sup>, P = 1.7 bar, S/C = 3).



**Figure S7**. W/F<sub>t0</sub> effect on ethanol conversion at 400°C (a) and carbon selectivities of CO<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> versus ethanol conversion (b) over natural sepiolite. (P = 1.8 bar, S/C = 3).



**Figure S8**. W/F<sub>t0</sub> effect on ethanol conversion at 400°C (a) and carbon selectivities of  $C_2H_4$  versus ethanol conversion (b) over natural sepiolite. (P = 1.8 bar, S/C = 0).



**Figure S9**. TOF values obtained during ethanol (a) and water (b) partial pressure variation experiments using the base case Ni/Sepiolite catalyst sample treated at 500°C, the thermally pre-treated sample at 550°C, and the hydrated sample at 400°C. TOF values over Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> from Zhurka et al.<sup>15</sup> are provided for comparison.  $P_{H_{2}O}$  variation experiments carried out at constant  $P_{Eth}$  of 0.13 bar, while  $P_{Eth}$  variation experiments carried out at constant  $P_{H_{2}O}$  of 0.74 bar.

# S3.Bibliography

- S. Hojati and H. Khademi, Thermal Behavior of a Natural Sepiolite from Northeastern Iran, *J Sci*, 2013, **24**, 129–134.
- 2 H. Yalçin and Ö. Bozkaya, Ultramafic-rock-hosted vein sepiolite occurences in the Ankara ophiolitic mélange, Central Anatolia, Turkey, *Clays Clay Miner*, 2004, **52**, 227–239.
- 3 S. Balci, Thermal decomposition of sepiolite and variations in pore structure with and without acid pre-treatment, *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 1996, **66**, 72–78.
- 4 M. Önal, H. Yilmaz and Y. Sarikaya, Some physicochemical properties of the white sepiolite known as pipestone from Eskişehir, Turkey, *Clays Clay Miner*, 2008, **56**, 511–519.
- J. Anderson, S. Falconer and M. Galán-Fereres, Ni/sepiolite hydrogenation catalysts Part 1: Precursor–support interaction and nature of exposed metal surfaces, *Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosc*, 1997, 53, 2627–2639.
- 6 J. A. Anderson and M. Galan-Fereres, Precursor-support interactions in the preparation of sepiolite-supported Ni and Pd catalysts, *Clay Miner*, 1999, **34**, 57–66.
- 7 A. R. Türker, H. Bağ and B. Erdoğan, Determination of iron and lead by flame atomic absorption spectrometry after preconcentration with sepiolite, *Fresenius J Anal Chem*, 1997, **357**, 351–353.
- 8 N. Güngör, I. Sevim, E. Günister, H. Teterycz and R. Klimkiewicz, Characterization of sepiolite as a support of silver catalyst in soot combustion, 2006, **32**, 291–296.
- Y. Grillet, J. M. Cases, M. Francois, J. Rouquerol and J. E. Poirier, Modification of the Porous Structure and Surface Area of Sepiolite Under Vacuum Thermal Treatment, *Clays Clay Miner*, 1988, 36, 233–242.
- C. Serna, J. L. Ahlrichs and J. M. Serratosa, Folding in sepiolite crystals, *Clays Clay Miner*, 1975, 23, 452–457.
- H. Nagata, S. Shimoda and T. Sudo, On dehydration of bound water of sepiolite, *Clays Clay Miner*, 1974, 22, 285–293.
- 12 J. A. Anderson, M. T. Rodrigo, L. Daza and S. Mendioroz, Influence of the support in the selectivity of nickel/clay catalysts for vegetable oil hydrogenation, *Langmuir*, 1993, **9**, 2485–2490.
- Z. A. Alothman, A review: Fundamental aspects of silicate mesoporous materials, *Materials*, 2012,
   5, 2874–2902.
- 14 N. Yener, M. Önal, G. Üstünişik and Y. Sarıkaya, Thermal behavior of a mineral mixture of sepiolite and dolomite, *J Therm Anal Calorim*, 2007, **88**, 813–817.
- 15 M. D. Zhurka, A. A. Lemonidou, J. A. Anderson and P. N. Kechagiopoulos, Kinetic analysis of the steam reforming of ethanol over Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> for the elucidation of metal-dominated reaction pathways, *React Chem Eng*, 2018, **3**, 883–897.

Bifunctional mechanism proposed for the steam reforming of ethanol over Ni supported on natural sepiolite. The availability of silanols determines the activation of reactants on sepiolite's surface and their migration to the metal-support interface.

