

Sedimentological constraints on the late Silurian history of the Highland Boundary  
Fault, Scotland: Implications for Midland Valley Basin development

Adrian J. Hartley and Sophie Leleu<sup>1</sup>

Department of Geology & Petroleum Geology,  
School of Geosciences  
University of Aberdeen  
Aberdeen AB24 3UE  
Scotland, U.K.

<sup>1</sup> Now at: EA 4592 G&E, ENSEGID, Institut Polytechnique de Bordeaux, 1 allée Daguin, 33 607  
Pessac, France

1 **Abstract**

2 The relationship between movement on the Highland Boundary Fault (HBF) and deposition of  
3 the Lower Old Red Sandstone (LORS) in the Midland Valley Basin, Scotland is controversial.  
4 Most models favour mid-Silurian to early Devonian sinistral movement on the HBF and  
5 development of a transtensional Midland Valley Basin. To constrain HBF movement during the  
6 late Silurian, we examine the basal LORS alluvial succession exposed adjacent to the HBF. A  
7 lack of syn-sedimentary fault movement indicators coupled with an increase in stratal thickness  
8 across the fault, indicates the HBF was not active during LORS sedimentation. A transtensional  
9 basin model cannot be sustained.

10

11 The Highland Boundary Fault (HBF) is a steeply-dipping reverse fault that juxtaposes Dalradian  
12 metasediments of the Grampian Terrane (GT) onto Highland Border Complex and Lower Old  
13 Red Sandstone rocks (LORS) of the Midland Valley Terrane (MVT) (Barrow 1901; Campbell  
14 1913; Anderson 1946; Strachan et al. 2002; Tanner 2008; Figs. 1a). The main phase of reverse  
15 movement on the fault took place in the Middle Devonian between deposition of the Lower and  
16 Upper Old Red Sandstone, yet the timing and nature of pre Mid-Devonian movement on the  
17 HBF remains controversial (e.g. Tanner 2008, 2011; Bluck 2010). Marked differences in late  
18 Precambrian to Lower Palaeozoic development of the GT and MVT have suggested to some  
19 workers that the HBF represents a terrane boundary separating the GT (Laurentia) from the MVT  
20 with extensive (> 500 km) sinistral strike-slip movement in Silurian to early Devonian times  
21 (e.g. Harte et al. 1984; Bluck 2002; Strachan et al. 2002; Dewey & Strachan 2003). In contrast,  
22 others believe there has been limited post-mid-Silurian strike-slip movement and that the GT and  
23 MVT blocks were amalgamated by the early Silurian (Oliver 2001; Tanner 2008). Bluck (2002,  
24 2010) suggested that the northern edge of the MVB lay north of the current location of the HBF  
25 and that it migrated southwards during the early Devonian. He stressed that the notion that the  
26 LORS basin was continuous across the HBF could not be reconciled with provenance data,  
27 favouring deposition in a series of small strike-slip basins. In palaeogeographic reconstructions,  
28 the HBF also forms the northern, fault-bounded margin to the late Mid-Silurian LORS Midland  
29 Valley Basin (MVB), with, sediment shed southwards across the active fault into the basin (e.g.  
30 Bluck 1983; Haughton 1989; Trewin & Thirlwall 2002).

31

32 To constrain the timing and nature of mid Silurian to early Devonian movement on the HBF, we  
33 examine sedimentological and stratigraphic evidence from the Cowie Sandstone Formation, the

34 oldest sedimentary unit in the northern part of the MVB. The formation unconformably overlies  
35 the Ordovician Highland Border Complex and is juxtaposed against the HBF, consequently  
36 sedimentological analysis should allow constraints to be placed on any syn-sedimentary fault  
37 activity during basin formation. Results suggest that the HBF was not active during deposition of  
38 the LORS and this observation is discussed within the context of the late Silurian to early  
39 Devonian development of the MVB.

40

### 41 **Sedimentology of the Cowie Sandstone Formation**

42 The sandstones of the LORS which unconformably overlie the pillow lavas of the Highland  
43 Border Complex and are truncated by the HBF are referred to as the Cowie Sandstone Formation  
44 and together with the overlying Carron Sandstone Formation form the Stonehaven Group (Fig. 2;  
45 Browne et al. 2002). The Cowie Sandstone Formation is dated as Wenlock in age on the basis of  
46 spores (Marshall 1991; Wellman 1993). A measured section through the 430 m thick formation  
47 is presented in Fig. 2. It comprises coarse grained, moderate to poorly sorted, trough cross-  
48 stratified sandstones interbedded with horizontally laminated mudstones, rippled and  
49 horizontally laminated siltstone and fine sandstones. Pebbly sandstones and occasional well  
50 rounded clast-supported conglomerates occur towards the top of the formation. Desiccation  
51 cracks are present in some mudstone intervals, freshwater fish, arthropod and millipede remains  
52 have been found in a siltstone unit towards the top of the formation (Westoll 1977). Sandstone  
53 bodies range from 1 m thick, single story, channel-fill packages to amalgamated channel-belt  
54 bodies up to 60 m thick interpreted to represent the deposits of medial and lateral bars developed  
55 in a large-scale, low sinuosity fluvial system. Tilt-corrected palaeocurrent data measured from  
56 trough cross-strata are presented for a number of stratigraphic units within the Cowie Sandstone

57 Formation and summed for all units in Fig. 2. A consistent transport direction towards the west  
58 is clearly illustrated with a WNW component dominant in the lowermost units.

59

### 60 **Highland Boundary Fault: Field Relationships**

61 Field relationships associated with the HBF have been described in detail previously (e.g.  
62 Barrow 1901; Campbell 1913; Anderson 1946; Tanner 2008) and a brief summary relevant to the  
63 study area is presented here. The HBF is exposed on the coastline at Cowie (Fig. 2), where it  
64 forms a high angle reverse fault that dips steeply to the north, placing late Precambrian to  
65 Cambrian Dalradian metasediments of the GT onto Ordovician pillow lavas (Highland Border  
66 Complex) of the MVT and LORS sandstones (Campbell 1913). An unconformity dipping  $51^\circ$  to  
67 the south, separates the pillow lavas from sandstones of the LORS (British Geological Survey  
68 1999). Strata above the unconformity show a progressive increase in dip south of the  
69 unconformity from  $51^\circ$  to close to vertical over a horizontal distance of 500 m. Dips remain  
70 close to vertical south of this point for a further 4 km. The unconformity between the LORS and  
71 the Highland Border Complex is only seen at Cowie. Elsewhere the LORS is always in fault  
72 contact with either Dalradian metasediments or the Highland Border Complex.

73

74 The LORS succession at Cowie forms part of the northern limb of the Strathmore Syncline (Fig.  
75 1) - a Middle Devonian structure truncated prior to deposition of the Upper Devonian Upper Old  
76 Red Sandstone (Bluck 2000). The syncline can be traced for >200 km across the Midland Valley  
77 Basin where it runs parallel to the HBF and is thought to have developed during the main phase  
78 of movement on the HBF (Tanner 2008)

79

## 80 **Discussion**

81 Palaeocurrent data from the Cowie Sandstone Formation do not support evidence for syn-  
82 sedimentary movement on the HBF. Fluvial channel deposits located immediately adjacent to the  
83 HBF flowed either directly or obliquely towards the present day location of the fault. In addition,  
84 if the HBF had been active during LORS deposition, as suggested in reconstructions (e.g. Bluck  
85 1983; Haughton 1989; Trewin & Thirlwall 2002), then thick packages of coarse grained, angular,  
86 poorly sorted alluvial fan deposits that dipped southwards off the active fault scarp should be  
87 preserved. No evidence for alluvial fan deposits such as are commonly observed along active  
88 fault scarps (e.g. Blair & McPherson 1994) are present in the Cowie Sandstone Formation (Fig  
89 2). Palaeocurrent data from strata overlying the Cowie Sandstone Formation also indicate no  
90 evidence for transport of material southwards across the HBF in the LORS. For example, fluvial  
91 sandstones in the overlying Carron Sandstone Formation show westerly directed palaeoflow  
92 (Haughton 1989; Davidson & Hartley 2010). Haughton (1989) described complex palaeocurrent  
93 patterns from conglomerates of the 1500 m thick Dunnottar Group (Fig. 2) which overlies the  
94 Stonehaven Group, and which also display predominantly southwesterly directed palaeoflow.  
95 This indicates that for at least the lower 2500 m of LORS deposition, sediment was consistently  
96 sourced from the east with no input from the north.

97

98 Unfolding of the Strathmore Syncline and restoration of depositional dip to palaeo-horizontal  
99 (Fig. 3), shows that the Cowie Sandstone Formation thickened northwards towards what is the  
100 present day location of the HBF. Assuming an equivalent thickness of LORS overlay the GT  
101 prior to post-Lower Devonian movement on the HBF, estimates of displacement on the HBF at  
102 Cowie would include the full thickness of the LORS on the northern limb of the syncline of at

103 least 4500 m. Although fault-bounded on the BGS section (Figs. 1 and 2), this still provides a  
104 minimum value for post-Lower Devonian displacement and erosion prior to UORS deposition.  
105 Elsewhere adjacent to the HBF across Scotland, thick (>5 km) sections of LORS strata are  
106 affected by the Strathmore Syncline (Fig. 2), with the implication that up to 5000 m of LORS  
107 overlay much of at least the southern part of the GT prior to movement on the HBF in the Mid  
108 Devonian.

109  
110 Evidence for LORS sedimentation across the GT is provided by a regional base-LORS  
111 unconformity that can be reconstructed across much of the GT using numerous scattered LORS  
112 outliers (Watson 1985; Stephenson & Gould 1995; Bluck 2000; Macdonald et al. 2000). North of  
113 the HBF, thick accumulations of sediments and interbedded lavas (800 to 1440 m) of late  
114 Silurian to earliest Devonian age are recorded for example at Lintrathen, Glen Turret, Lorne,  
115 Oban and Kintyre (Bluck 2000; Browne et al. 2002; Trewin & Thirlwell, 2002; Fig. 1). The  
116 preservation of a base-LORS unconformity and LORS outliers of Silurian age north of the HBF  
117 support the idea that the GT did not form a topographic high during LORS deposition, but rather  
118 was buried by LORS sediment at least partially by the late Mid-Silurian and certainly by the Late  
119 Silurian (Fig. 3),

120  
121 The palaeocurrent data from the Cowie Sandstone Formation, the projected increase in LORS  
122 thickness across the HBF and the absence of any significant accumulations of alluvial fan  
123 deposits adjacent to the fault have a number of significant implications: 1) the HBF was not  
124 active during deposition of the LORS, 2) the LORS basin margin lay significantly north of the  
125 present day location of the HBF (Bluck 2000), 3) sedimentation was continuous across the HBF,

126 4) a significant thickness of LORS (4500 m) directly overlay GT Dalradian basement and was  
127 subsequently uplifted and exhumed in relation to post-LORS reverse HBF movement, 5) any  
128 strike-slip movement on the HBF must have occurred prior to the Wenlock such that there is no  
129 evidence for large scale sinistral strike-slip movement on the HBF in late Silurian to early  
130 Devonian times (see also Tanner 2008).

131  
132 Bluck (1978) suggested the LORS was deposited in a series of linked transtensional sub-basins  
133 which together formed the MVB. In these models the northern margin of the basin was  
134 represented by an active HBF which separated an uplifted GT from the MVB. The evidence from  
135 the Cowie Sandstone Formation and overlying strata suggest that this model cannot be sustained,  
136 with no indication of syn-sedimentary relief on the HBF during LORS deposition, sediment  
137 extending northwards over the subdued relief of the GT and at least the lower 2500 m of the  
138 basin-fill derived from an elevated area to the east of the basin. Other features that are commonly  
139 associated with active strike-slip basin margins (e.g. Miall 2000) such as angular unconformities  
140 within the basin-fill adjacent to the basin-bounding fault and rapid along strike changes in true  
141 stratigraphic thickness have not been documented within the LORS succession (e.g. Browne et  
142 al. 2002),

143  
144 To assess the significance of these observations within a wider context, it is necessary to place  
145 the HBF within the late Lower Palaeozoic tectonic framework. In the early to Mid Silurian (435-  
146 425 Ma), to the east and north of the GT and MVT, collision between Laurentia and Baltica  
147 resulted in the Scandian deformation phase of the Caledonian Orogeny (Coward 1990).  
148 Scandian deformation affected western Norway, east Greenland and the Northern Highland



149 Terrane (NHT) of Scotland, and was responsible for large-scale nappe emplacement including  
150 development of the Moine Thrust. The GT which is separated from the NHT by the Great Glen  
151 Fault (Fig. 1) has no record of significant Scandian deformation. To explain the present day  
152 juxtaposition of these crustal blocks, it has long been inferred that significant (possibly >500 km)  
153 late Silurian to early Devonian sinistral strike-slip movement took place on the Great Glen Fault  
154 (Strachan et al. 2002) to accommodate the oblique collision of Baltica with Laurasia, with >500  
155 km of sinistral movement also taking place along the HBF at this time (e.g. Dewey & Strachan  
156 2003). It is clear that this latter scenario is not supported by the sedimentological evidence from  
157 the Cowie Sandstone Formation and that from the late Mid-Silurian to the Mid-Devonian the  
158 HBF had little or no influence on LORS deposition with the GT forming a contiguous basal  
159 surface with that of the MVB. Tanner (2008) presents evidence for very limited post LORS  
160 strike-slip movement on the HBF such that any strike-slip movement must have been pre-Mid  
161 Silurian.

162

163 The preservation of Silurian LORS deposits on both the MVT and GHT indicate that  
164 sedimentation occurred across the HBF. If the GHT was not the direct source for LORS detritus  
165 a mechanism for generation of significant relief immediately east and north of the MVB is  
166 required to supply substantial volumes of coarse clastic sediment to the basin. It has long been  
167 recognised that some sediment was supplied by fluvial systems draining the Scandian Orogen to  
168 the east of the MVB (e.g. Bluck 2000), however in most reconstructions this sediment source  
169 supplements material derived from the GHT. We suggest that the Scandian Orogen is the sole  
170 source of clastic material for LORS fluvial systems (Fig. 3). The correspondence between  
171 Scandian deformation and the onset of LORS sedimentation in the Wenlock further suggests that

172 the LORS basin-fill developed as part of the Scandian foreland. The suture zone between Baltica  
173 and the edge of the MVT and GHT currently lies 100 to 150 km directly east of the Midland  
174 Valley (Coward et al. 2003) and would have been closer prior to Mesozoic extension in the  
175 North Sea.

176

## 177 **Conclusions**

178 A study of the Mid to Late Silurian succession located adjacent to the Highland Boundary Fault,  
179 allows the timing of fault movement on this major Caledonian structure to be constrained. A lack  
180 of evidence for syn-sedimentary fault movement such as fault-scarp derived scree deposits, growth  
181 strata or palaeocurrent deflection together with evidence for stratal thickening across the fault  
182 indicate that there has been no significant post-Ordovician strike-slip movement on the HBF.

183 The observations indicate that LORS sedimentation was continuous across the HBF and that the  
184 HBF did not form the northern margin of the MVB and did not migrate southwards during LORS  
185 deposition. The HBF did not therefore accommodate any Scandian shortening or strike-slip  
186 movement and should not be included in late Palaeozoic palaeogeographic reconstructions of  
187 NW Europe and contiguous areas. Implications of these observations when placed within the  
188 Caledonian tectonic framework for the Silurian are that the LORS basin-fill succession which  
189 covered the low-lying and contiguous Midland Valley and Grampian Highland Terranes was  
190 derived primarily through erosion of the developing Scandian Orogen to the east.

191

## 192 **References**

193 Anderson, J.G.C. 1946. The geology of the Highland Border: Stonehaven to Arran. Transactions  
194 of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 61, 479-515.

195 Barrow, G. 1901. On the occurrence of Silurian(?) rocks in Forfarshire and Kincardineshire  
196 along the eastern border of the Highlands. Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of  
197 London, 57, 328-345.

198 Blair, T. & McPherson, J.G. 1994. Alluvial fans and their natural distinction from rivers based  
199 on morphology, hydraulic processes, sedimentary processes, and facies assemblages.  
200 Journal of Sedimentary Research, A64, 451-490.

201 Bluck, B.J. 1978. Sedimentation in a late orogenic basin: the Old Red Sandstone of the Midland  
202 Valley of Scotland. In: Bowes, D. R. & Leake, B. E. (eds) Crustal Evolution in NW Britain  
203 and Adjacent Regions. Seel House Press, Liverpool, 249-278.

204 Bluck, B.J. 1983. The role of the Midland Valley of Scotland in the Caledonian Orogeny.  
205 Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences, 74, 119–136.

206 Bluck, B.J. 2000. Old Red Sandstone basins and alluvial systems of Midland Scotland. In Friend,  
207 P.F., and Williams, B.P.J., eds., New Perspectives on the Old Red Sandstone, geological  
208 Society, London, Special Publication, 180, 417-437.

209 Bluck, B.J. 2002. The Midland Valley terrane. In Trewin, N., ed., Geology of Scotland, 4th edn.  
210 Geological Society, London, 149–166.

211 Bluck, B.J. 2010. The Highland Boundary Fault and the Highland Border Complex. Scottish  
212 Journal of Geology, 46, 113-124.

213 British Geological Survey, 1999, Stonehaven, Scotland Sheet 67, Solid and Drift Geology,  
214 1:50,000, Keyworth, Nottingham, British Geological Survey.

215 Browne, M.E.A., Smith R.A. & Aitken, A.M. 2002. Stratigraphical framework for the Devonian  
216 (Old Red Sandstone) rocks of Scotland south of a line from Fort William to Aberdeen.  
217 British Geological Survey Research Report, RR/01/04, 67pp.

218 Campbell, R. 1913. The geology of south-eastern Kincardineshire. Transactions of the Royal  
219 Society of Edinburgh, 48, 923–960.

220 Coward, M.P. 1990. The Precambrian, Caledonian and Variscan Framework to NW Europe. In:  
221 Hardman R.F.P. and Brooks, J. (eds), Tectonic Events Responsible for Britain’s Oil and Gas  
222 Reserves, Geological Society London, Special Publication, 55, 1-34.

223 Coward, M.P., Dewey, J., Hempton, M. & Holroyd, J. 2003. Tectonic Structure, in Evans, D.,  
224 Graham, C., Armour, A., and Bathurst, P., eds, The Millenium Atlas: Petroleum Geology of  
225 the Central and Northern North Sea, Geological Society, London, 21-48.

226 Davidson, S. & Hartley. A.J. 2010. Towards a quantitative method for estimating  
227 paleohydrology from clast size and comparison with modern rivers. Journal of Sedimentary  
228 Research, 80, 688-702.

229 Dewey, J.F. & Strachan, R.A. 2003. Changing Silurian–Devonian relative plate motion in the  
230 Caledonides; sinistral transpression to sinistral transtension. Journal of the Geological  
231 Society, London, 160, 219–229.

232 Harte, B., Booth, J.E., Dempster, T.J., Fettes, D.J., Mendum, J.R. & Watts, D. 1984. Aspects of  
233 the post-depositional evolution of Dalradian and Highland Border Complex rocks in the  
234 southern Highland of Scotland. Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, Earth  
235 Sciences, 75, 151-163.

236 Houghton, P.D.W. 1989. Structure of some Lower Old Red Sandstone conglomerates,  
237 Kincardineshire, Scotland: deposition from late-orogenic antecedent streams. Journal of the  
238 Geological Society, London, 146, 509-525.

239 MacDonald, D., Archer, B., Murray, S., Smith, K. & Bates, A. 2009. Modelling and comparing  
240 the Caledonian and Permo-Triassic erosion surfaces with present-day topography across

241 Highland Scotland: implications for landscape inheritance, in Nichols, G., Williams, E., and  
242 Paola, C., eds. *Sedimentary Processes, Environments and Basins*. Special Publication of the  
243 International Association of Sedimentologists, 36, 283-299.

244 Marshall, J.E.A. 1991. Palynology of the Stonehaven Group, Scotland; evidence for a Mid-  
245 Silurian age and its geological implications. *Geological Magazine*, 128, 283-286.

246 Miall, A.D. 2000. *Principles of Sedimentary Basin Analysis*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, Springer-Verlag, New  
247 York.

248 Oliver, G.J.H., 2001, Reconstruction of the Grampian episode in Scotland: its place in the  
249 Caledonian Orogeny. *Tectonophysics*, 332, 23–49.

250 Stephenson, D. & Gould, D. 1995. *British Regional Geology, the Grampian Highlands*. HMSO,  
251 London.

252 Strachan, R., Smith, M., Harris, A.L. & Fettes, D.J. 2002. The Northern Highland and Grampian  
253 terranes. In Trewin, N.H., ed., *The Geology of Scotland*. Geological Society, London, 81–  
254 148.

255 Tanner, P.W.G. 2008. Tectonic significance of the Highland Boundary Fault, Scotland. *Journal of*  
256 *the Geological Society*, London, 165, 915-921.

257 Tanner, P.W.G. 2011. Discussion of ‘The Highland Boundary Fault and the Highland Border  
258 Complex’ by B. J. Bluck, *Scottish Journal of Geology*, 46, 113–124

259 Trewin, N.H., and Thirlwall, M.F. 2002. The Old Red Sandstone. In Trewin, N.H., ed., *Geology*  
260 *of Scotland*, Geological Society, London, 213–249.

261 Watson, J. 1985. Northern Scotland as an Atlantic-North Sea divide. *Journal of the Geological*  
262 *Society*, London, 142, 221-243.

263 Wellman, C.H. 1993. A land plant microfossil assemblage of Mid Silurian age from the  
264 Stonehaven Group, Scotland. *Journal of Micropalaeontology*, 12, 47-66.  
265 Westoll, T.S. 1977. Northern Britain: n House, M.R., Richardson, J.B., Chaloner, W.G., Allen,  
266 J.R.L., Holland, C.H. & Westoll, T.S. (eds), *A Correlation of the Devonian Rocks of the*  
267 *British Isles*. Geological Society, London, Special Report, 8, 66-93.

268

## 269 List of Figures

270 Fig. 1 a) Map of northern and central Scotland showing the distribution of LORS outcrop and the  
271 main terranes, basins and faults. Box highlights area of map in b). Fig. 1b) Geological map  
272 of northeastern part of the MVB showing the relationship between the main structures and  
273 stratigraphy, modified after Tanner (2008). Fig. 1c) Three cross-sections illustrating the  
274 relationship between the HBF and the basin-fill. Note the presence of thick LORS packages  
275 immediately north of the fault (modified after Tanner 2008).

276 Fig. 2a) Geological map of the Cowie area (modified from Trewin and Gillan 1987 and British  
277 Geological Survey 1999). Red line shows location of logged section shown in (b), line of  
278 cross-section shown in (c) is labelled A-B and marked as a black dashed line. 2b)  
279 Stratigraphic column of the lower part of the LORS basin-fill succession and sedimentary  
280 log of the Cowie Sandstone Formation with palaeocurrent data (corrected for bed dip). 2c)  
281 Cross-section taken orthogonal to the strike of the HBF showing changes in dip southwards  
282 away from the fault, .

283 Fig.3 Reconstruction of LORS depositional setting and basin geometry, top diagram shows a  
284 palaeogeographic reconstruction of fluvial systems draining the developing orogen  
285 associated with collision of Baltica and Laurentia to the east of the Midland Valley, note the

286 location of where the HBF will develop after LORS deposition. Bottom diagram shows  
287 simple cross-section restored across the present day location of the HBF with location of  
288 LORS stratigraphic section shown in Fig. 2 (red dot), note thickening northwards.







