

1 **Title page**

2 Adult height and head and neck cancer: a pooled analysis within the INHANCE Consortium

3

4 **Author names and affiliations**

5 Emanuele Leoncini (1), Walter Ricciardi (1), Gabriella Cadoni (2), Dario Arzani (1), Livia
6 Petrelli (2), Gaetano Paludetti (2), Paul Brennan (3), Daniele Luce (4), Isabelle Stucker (4),
7 Keitaro Matsuo (5), Renato Talamini (6), Carlo La Vecchia (7), Andrew F. Olshan (8), Deborah
8 M. Winn (9), Rolando Herrero (3), Silvia Franceschi (3), Xavier Castellsague (10), Joshua
9 Muscat (11), Hal Morgenstern (12), Zuo-Feng Zhang (13), Fabio Levi (14), Luigino Dal Maso
10 (6), Karl Kelsey (15), Michael McClean (16), Thomas L Vaughan (17), Philip Lazarus (11),
11 Mark P. Purdue (9), Richard B. Hayes (18), Chu Chen (17), Stephen M. Schwartz (17), Oxana
12 Shangina (19), Sergio Koifman (20), Wolfgang Ahrens (21), Elena Matos (22), Pagona Lagiou
13 (23), Jolanta Lissowska (24), Neonila Szeszenia-Dabrowska (25), Leticia Fernandez (26), Ana
14 Menezes (27), Antonio Agudo (28), Alexander W. Daudt (29), Lorenzo Richiardi (30), Kristina
15 Kjaerheim (31), Dana Mates (32), Jaroslav Betka (33), Guo-Pei Yu (34), Stimson Schantz (34),
16 Lorenzo Simonato (35), Hermann Brenner (36), David I Conway (37), Tatiana V. Macfarlane
17 (38), Peter Thomson (39), Eleonora Fabianova (40), Ariana Znaor (41), Peter Rudnai (42), Claire
18 Healy (43), Paolo Boffetta (44) (45), Shu-Chun Chuang (46), Yuan-Chin Amy Lee (47), Mia
19 Hashibe (47), Stefania Boccia (1) (48).

20

- 21 1. Section of Hygiene, Institute of Public Health, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore,
22 Rome, Italy
- 23 2. Institute of Otorhinolaryngology, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy
- 24 3. International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France

- 25 4. INSERM UMRS 1018, Centre for research in Epidemiology and Population Health,
26 Villejuif, France
- 27 5. Aichi Cancer Center Research Institute, Nagoya, Japan
- 28 6. Centro di Riferimento Oncologico IRCCS, Aviano, Italy
- 29 7. Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri and University of Milan, Milan, Italy
- 30 8. University of North Carolina School of Public Health, Chapel Hill, NC, USA
- 31 9. National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA
- 32 10. Institut Catala d'Oncologia (ICO), IDIBELL, CIBER-ESP, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat,
33 Spain
- 34 11. Penn State College of Medicine, Hershey, PA, USA
- 35 12. Departments of Epidemiology and Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public
36 Health and Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
- 37 13. Department of Epidemiology, UCLA Fielding School of Public Health and Jonsson
38 Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA
- 39 14. Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine (IUMSP), Lausanne University Hospital,
40 Lausanne, Switzerland
- 41 15. Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA
- 42 16. Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA
- 43 17. Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA
- 44 18. Division of Epidemiology, New York University School Of Medicine, NY, New York,
45 USA
- 46 19. Cancer Research Centre, Moscow, Russia
- 47 20. Escola Nacional de Saude Publica, Fundacao Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- 48 21. Bremen Institute for Prevention Research and Social Medicine (BIPS), Bremen, Germany

- 49 22. Institute of Oncology Angel H. Roffo, University of Buenos Aires, Argentina
- 50 23. University of Athens School of Medicine, Athens, Greece
- 51 24. M. Sklodowska-Curie Memorial Cancer Center and Institute of Oncology, Dept. of
52 Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention, Warsaw, Poland
- 53 25. Institute of Occupational Medicine, Lodz, Poland
- 54 26. Institute of Oncology and Radiobiology, Havana, Cuba
- 55 27. Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil
- 56 28. Catalan Institute of Oncology (ICO), IDIBELL, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Spain
- 57 29. Hospital de Clinicas de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, Brazil
- 58 30. Department of Medical Sciences, University of Turin, Italy
- 59 31. Cancer Registry of Norway, Oslo, Norway
- 60 32. Institute of Public Health, Bucharest, Romania
- 61 33. Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, First Faculty of Medicine,
62 Charles University in Prague and University Hospital Motol, Czech Republic
- 63 34. New York Eye and Ear Infirmary, New York, NY, USA
- 64 35. University of Padua, Padova, Italy
- 65 36. German Cancer Research Center, Division of Clinical Epidemiology and Aging Research
- 66 37. Dental School, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow,
67 Glasgow, UK
- 68 38. University of Aberdeen Dental School, Aberdeen, UK
- 69 39. University of Newcastle, Newcastle, UK
- 70 40. Regional Authority of Public Health in Banska Bystrica, Slovakia
- 71 41. Croatian National Cancer Registry, Zagreb, Croatia
- 72 42. National Institute of Environmental Health, Budapest, Hungary

- 73 43. Trinity College School of Dental Science, Dublin, Ireland
- 74 44. The Tisch Cancer Institute, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA
- 75 45. International Prevention Research Institute, Lyon, France
- 76 46. Imperial College London, UK
- 77 47. Department of Family & Preventive Medicine, University of Utah School of Medicine,
78 Salt Lake City, UT
- 79 48. IRCCS San Raffaele Pisana, Rome, Italy

80

81 **Corresponding author:**

82 Stefania Boccia, MSc, DSc, PhD

83 Genetic Epidemiology and Public Health Genomics Unit

84 Institute of Hygiene, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore

85 L.go F. Vito, 1 - 00168 - Rome, Italy

86 Email: sboccia@rm.unicatt.it

87 Fax: +39 (0) 6 35001522 – Ph : +39 (0) 6 30154396/35001527

88

89 **Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)**

90 Head and Neck Neoplasms, Meta-Analysis [Publication Type], Height

91

92 **Conflict of interest statement**

93 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

94 **Abstract**

95 **Background**

96 Several epidemiological studies have shown a positive association between adult height and
97 cancer incidence. The only study conducted among women on mouth and pharynx cancer risk,
98 however, reported an inverse association. This study aims to investigate the association between
99 height and the risk of head and neck cancer (HNC) within a large international consortium of
100 HNC.

101 **Methods**

102 We analyzed pooled individual-level data from 24 case-control studies participating in the
103 International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. Odds Ratios (ORs) and 95%
104 Confidence Intervals (CIs) were estimated separately for men and women for associations
105 between height and HNC risk. Educational level, tobacco smoking, and alcohol consumption
106 were included in all regression models. Stratified analyses by HNC subsites were performed.

107 **Results**

108 This project included 17,666 cases and 28,198 controls. We found an inverse association between
109 height and HNC (adjusted OR per 10 cm height =0.91, 95% CI 0.86-0.95 for men; adjusted
110 OR=0.86, 95% CI 0.79-0.93 for women). In men, the estimated OR did vary by educational level,
111 smoking status, geographic area, and control source. No differences by subsites were detected.

112 **Conclusions**

113 Adult height is inversely associated with HNC risk. As height can be considered a marker of
114 childhood illness and low energy intake, the inverse association is consistent with prior studies
115 showing that HNC occur more frequently among deprived individuals. Further studies designed
116 to elucidate the mechanism of such association would be warranted.

117 **BACKGROUND**

118 Head and neck cancer (HNC) is the sixth most common cancer worldwide, with more than half a
119 million cases and 300,000 deaths in 2008 [1]. These malignancies, the majority of which are
120 squamous cell carcinomas, include cancers of the oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx and
121 larynx. Tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption are predominant risk factors for HNC,
122 although other factors, including passive smoking [2, 3], human papillomavirus (HPV) infection
123 [4], low body-mass index [5], low levels of recreational physical activity [6], poor dietary pattern
124 [7], low socioeconomic status [8] and family history of cancer [9], affect the risk.

125 Increasing cancer risk with increasing adult height has been reported for all cancers combined
126 [10-12], and for several specific cancer sites, such as breast, ovary, prostate, colon, rectum, testis,
127 malignant melanoma, endometrium, kidney, non-Hodgkin lymphoma and leukaemia [13-20]. The
128 World Cancer Research Fund reported in 2007 that evidence of an increasing risk associated with
129 attained adult height was convincing for colorectal and postmenopausal breast cancer only, while
130 it is probable for pancreatic, ovarian, and premenopausal breast cancer. Evidence was limited,
131 however, for endometrial cancer [21]. A positive association has also been reported between
132 adult height and cancer mortality [15, 22, 23]. On the other hand, an inverse relation was reported
133 for stomach and oesophagus cancer in some studies [24, 10, 25-27], and recently also for mouth
134 and pharynx cancer [11]. Based on 1,095 incident cases of mouth and pharynx cancers within the
135 Million Women cohort Study [11], a risk reduction of 6% per 10 cm increasing adult height was
136 reported. Additionally, the Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration reported a reduction of 13% per
137 6.5 cm increasing adult height for oral cancer mortality (95% CI: 5%-21%), based on a pooled
138 analysis of 632 cancer deaths from a large number of cohort studies [23].

139 In general, a person's maximum height is determined by a combination of genetic factors and
140 environmental exposures both in utero and during childhood and adolescence, so that height can

141 be considered as a biomarker of the interplay of genetic endowment and early-life experiences
142 [28, 29]. The extent to which a person can reach his/her genetically determined height is therefore
143 strongly influenced by living conditions and the family's and previous generations'
144 socioeconomic status (SES) [30]. Besides SES, insulin-like growth factor I (IGFI) circulating
145 levels are also strongly related with childhood and adolescence skeletal growth [31], with IGFI
146 being positively associated with cancer risk [32].
147 The purposes of this study are to examine the association between height and the risk of HNC in
148 a pooled analysis of case-control studies participating in the International Head and Neck Cancer
149 Epidemiology (INHANCE) Consortium, and to test this association in HNC subsites.

150 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

151 *Studies and Participants*

152 We conducted the pooled analysis by using data from independent case-control studies
153 participating in the INHANCE Consortium. The INHANCE Consortium was established in 2004
154 and includes 35 head and neck cancer case-control studies (several of which are multicenter) on
155 25,478 cases and 37,111 controls (data version 1.5) [33]. Cases included patients with invasive
156 tumors of the oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx, larynx, oral cavity or pharynx not otherwise
157 specified or overlapping, as defined previously [34].

158 Details of the case-control studies and data pooling methods for the INHANCE consortium have
159 been previously described [34]. Face-to-face interviews are conducted in all studies by trained
160 personnel, except for the following studies: Boston, Germany-Saarland, MSKCC New York, and
161 Japan (2001-2005), in which subjects completed self-administered questionnaires. All the studies
162 were performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the local ethics
163 committees. Written informed consents were obtained from all study subjects.

164 *Inclusion criteria*

165 All case-control studies in the INHANCE Consortium were eligible for inclusion in the current
166 analysis only if information on height was available for at least 80% of the subjects. Additionally,
167 among the eligible studies, subjects were excluded if they were: aged <18; <120 cm in height;
168 had missing information on age, gender or height; or had missing information on the site of origin
169 of cancer.

170 *Study variables*

171 Variables were formatted to be consistently classified across studies into standard categories,
172 including age (<50, 50–59, 60–69, ≥70 years), body-mass index (<18.5 [underweight], 18.5–24.9
173 [normal weight], 25–29.9 [overweight], ≥30 [obese] kg/m²), education level (no formal

174 education, less than junior high school, some high school, high-school graduate, vocational/some
175 college, or college graduate/postgraduate), cigarette smoking status (never, former, current),
176 years of smoking (<10, 10-19, 20-29, 30-39, ≥40), number of cigarettes smoked per day (<10,
177 10-19, 20-29, 30-39, ≥40), alcohol drinking status (never, former, current), alcohol consumption
178 as number of drinks consumed per day (<1, 1-2, 3-4, ≥5), geographic area (Europe, North
179 America, Central and South America, and Asia), source of control subjects (hospital-based versus
180 population-based), cancer subsite (oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx, and larynx) [34].
181 Body mass index was calculated as the weight divided by the height squared (weight (kg)/height
182 (m)²) and categorized into four groups according to World Health Organization criteria as
183 previously reported [35]. Subjects, who have not attained a high school graduation, were
184 classified as having low education in the data analysis. A detailed description on the method used
185 for data pooling on smoking and alcohol across different studies is provided in a previous paper
186 [34].
187 Height and weight were self-reported at the time of interview in all studies. All pooled data were
188 cleaned and checked for internal consistency, and clarifications were requested from the original
189 investigators when needed.

190 *Statistical analysis*

191 Descriptive analyses were conducted to describe the study population by demographic and
192 known HNC risk factors. Height was expressed as quartiles of the distribution for the combined
193 control group of all studies and for each gender respectively (<168, 168–172, 173–178, >178 cm
194 for men; <157, 157–160, 161–165, >165 cm for women).

195 The associations between HNC risk and height (per 10 cm increase) were assessed by estimating
196 odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs), using unconditional logistic regression for
197 each case-control study, adjusted by education level, cigarette smoking status, years of smoking,

198 number of cigarettes smoked per day, and alcohol consumption as number of drinks consumed
199 per day. The pooled effect estimates from all studies, were estimated with random effect models
200 and presented in a Forest plot. We quantified inconsistencies across studies and their impact on
201 the analysis by using Cochran's Q and the I^2 statistic [36, 37]. An estimate of the between-study
202 variance was also computed using τ^2 statistic [38].

203 To assess the impact of other potentially confounding factors, we examined the percent change in
204 the age-adjusted pooled OR with the addition of each factor. Subgroup analyses were also
205 conducted by geographic area, source of control subjects, cancer subsite, and selected
206 characteristics at recruitment: age, body-mass index, education level, smoking status, and alcohol
207 drinking status. Statistical analyses were performed separately for men and women and were
208 done with Stata software, version 12 (StataCorp. 2011. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP). All
209 statistical tests were two-sided, and p-values < alpha (0.05) were considered statistically
210 significant.

211

212 **RESULTS**

213 Overall, of the 35 studies participating in the INHANCE Consortium (version 1.5 with 25,478
214 cases and 37,111 controls), 11 were immediately excluded, as 6 did not have data on height
215 (Baltimore, Beijing, France multicenter [1989-1991], Germany-Heidelberg, HOTSPOT, and
216 Houston), and 5 did not provide data on height at the time of the analysis (Buffalo, Iowa, France
217 [1987-1992], Rome, and Sao Paulo). Furthermore, two centers (Goiania, Sao Paulo) from the
218 Latin America multicenter study, and six centers (Australia, Aviano, Cuba, Milan, Sudan, Udine)
219 from the International multicenter study were excluded. Figure 1 shows our selection process and
220 lists excluded case control studies with reasons for their exclusion.

221 Of the 24 case-control studies, we also excluded participants with missing data on height, age,
222 and gender (1,148 cases and 581 controls). The final analysis included 17,666 cases and 28,198
223 controls. Among the cases, 4,714 were oral cancer, 6,254 were pharyngeal cancer, 1,970 were
224 cancers of the oral cavity or pharynx not otherwise specified, 4,407 were laryngeal cancer and
225 321 overlapping. Details of the case-control studies are provided in Table 1. Nine studies were
226 conducted in Europe, ten in North America, two in Central and South America, two in Asia, one
227 study was conducted on four continents and coordinated by the International Agency for
228 Research on Cancer (IARC).

229 Table 2 reports the characteristics of the study population, which included 34,072 men (74.3% of
230 the entire population; 13,792 cases and 20,280 controls), and 11,792 women (25.7%; 3,874 cases
231 and 7,918 controls). Among these participants, both men and women, cases were more likely
232 than controls to be underweight or normal weight, cigarette smokers, and alcohol drinkers.
233 Controls had higher education levels than cases.

234 Table 3 shows the distribution of age and selected risk factors in control subjects according to
235 gender-specific height quartiles. Both in men and women, the taller group tended to be younger,
236 to have a higher level of education, and more likely to be current drinkers. Among men, taller
237 individuals were less likely to be current smokers, while the reverse was true among women.

238 The adjusted ORs for HNC risk per 10 cm increase in height for the 24 studies are shown in
239 Figure 2. Among men, the pooled OR for height was 0.91 (95% CI: 0.86-0.95). There was little
240 heterogeneity between the effect sizes, accounting for 18% of the variation in point estimates by
241 using the statistic I^2 . The estimate of the heterogeneity variance was 0.002. The point estimate of
242 the pooled ORs was less than 1.0 for 18 of the 24 studies (sign test, $p < 0.05$).

243 Among women, the pooled OR was 0.86 (95% CI: 0.79-0.93), and there was no evidence of
244 heterogeneity across studies. The point estimate of the pooled ORs was less than 1.0 for 19 of the
245 24 studies (sign test, $p < 0.05$).

246 Figure 3 shows the ORs for HNC per 10 cm increase in height, in subgroups defined by
247 geographic area, control source (hospital-based or population-based), cancer subsite, and selected
248 characteristics at recruitment. In men, the adjusted ORs varied by education level ($I^2 = 62.7\%$;
249 $\tau^2 = 0.004$), smoking status ($I^2 = 68.2\%$; $\tau^2 = 0.003$), geographic area ($I^2 = 63.3\%$; $\tau^2 = 0.003$), and
250 control source ($I^2 = 87.7\%$; $\tau^2 = 0.006$). The OR was 0.87 (95% CI: 0.82-0.91) for hospital-based
251 case-control studies and 0.97 (95% CI: 0.91-1.03) for population-based case-control studies.

252 There was little association between height and HNC risk among men with at least high-school
253 education, and in American populations. There was no substantial heterogeneity in the estimated
254 association with height across strata of the variables among women.

255 We also examined whether estimates varied by gender. We found that pooled ORs and ORs in
256 every group considered were consistent and do not differ by gender for the association between
257 increasing height and HNC risk (data not shown).

258 **DISCUSSION**

259 In this pooled analysis of 24 case-control studies including 13,792 men and 3,874 women with
260 HNC, we found an inverse association between height and HNC risk. The estimated association
261 was stronger in women than in men (14% vs. 9% risk reduction for per 10 cm increase in adult
262 height). Furthermore, the estimated associations were reasonably homogeneous across studies.
263 Our results are consistent with those from the only previous investigation on mouth and pharynx
264 cancers from a large prospective female cohort study in UK, which reported a relative risk of
265 0.94 (95% CI: 0.82-1.08) per 10 cm increase in height [11]. Additionally, the Emerging Risk
266 Factors Collaboration recently reported an inverse association between adult height and oral
267 cancer mortality, based on a large set of pooled cohort studies [23]. In our study, the inverse
268 association between height and HNC risk was minimal among American men, and it was weaker
269 in population-based studies than in hospital-based studies among men (adjusted OR = 0.97 vs.
270 0.87).

271 Within ethnic groups within countries, studies have shown that short stature is associated with
272 poor health status [27]. It is known that people with high SES tend to be taller than those in lower
273 socioeconomic classes [39, 40]. The key role of environmental factors in determining adult height
274 is also evident when considering that mean adult height in industrialized countries markedly
275 increased during the 20th century [41]. Therefore, since height can be considered as a marker of
276 early life illness, nutrition and psychosocial stress [42], it is not surprising that several studies
277 reported an inverse association between adult height and cardiovascular and respiratory disease
278 risk [26, 43, 44]. The relationship between height and cancer, however, is conflicting. Some
279 cohort studies conducted in different ethnic groups [10, 12, 11, 14], reported a positive
280 association between height and overall cancer incidence. However, for the mouth and pharynx
281 [11] as well as stomach and esophagus, inverse associations were found [24, 10, 25-27].

282 The results of our pooled analysis suggests that taller people might be at a lower risk for HNC
283 and corroborates the knowledge that HNC is more common among socio-economically deprived
284 people [45, 8]. We cannot exclude the possibility that the observed inverse association between
285 height and HNC risk is attributable to the unmeasured confounders of childhood or adolescent
286 nutrition status, which are expected to influence both adult height and cancer risk. Childhood
287 growth is indeed associated with parental SES [46, 47], and our pooled estimates are adjusted by
288 adult education status, which is again a good proxy of parental education/SES [48]. However, we
289 cannot rule out confounding by childhood nutrition.

290 In this study the association between height and HNC risk differed by educational level,
291 especially among men. Those with at least a high school degree are no longer at an increased risk,
292 which suggests a possible residual confounding due to other unknown variables related to SES
293 being the underlying factors of the height-HNC association in the overall analysis.

294 In a Scottish study [26], authors postulated that the inverse association between stature and
295 stomach cancer was due to *Helicobacter pylori*, which is associated with suboptimal childhood
296 growth and is a causal component for gastric cancer [49, 50]. Additionally, the contribution of the
297 infective component causes of HPV [4] in HNC etiology is not supposed to influence directly
298 childhood and/or adolescent growth, so that we exclude *a priori* the potential for confounding or
299 effect modification by HPV.

300 In our analysis, the population-based studies among men did not show an inverse association of
301 height with HNC risk, indicating the possible presence of selection bias with hospital controls.

302 On the other hand, this modifying effect of control source was not evident among women. When
303 stratifying on geographic region among men, an effect modification was found. American studies
304 did not show an inverse association between stature and HNC risk. Both scenarios might be due
305 to selection bias by education level, as hospital based studies have lower educational level among

306 men in our pooled analysis (data not shown), while in North America we observed a higher
307 education level of participants compared with the other regions (data not shown). Even though
308 the stratified analyses are adjusted by educational level, some residual confounding might persist.
309 While the present study has its strengths, including its very large size, its capacity to explore
310 effect modification by several characteristics and the stratified analyses according to cancer
311 subsites, it is not without limitations. Firstly, we did not have information on SES or education of
312 the parents, and used the adult education of the subjects as a proxy, which might result in residual
313 confounding. Secondly, we did not have information on diet during childhood and/or
314 adolescence, which affects the growth thus might be key factors underlying the observed
315 associations. Thirdly, we did not have information on trunk and leg length, which represent a
316 more direct height component that some studies related with cancer outcomes [51]. Fourthly, we
317 could not quantify the amount of information bias of self-reported height in our study, though we
318 believe that its effect would be modest [52]. Fifthly, residual confounding by tobacco and alcohol
319 cannot be excluded as these key risk factors for HNC might have been measured with error.
320 Lastly, we could not assess the influence of birth cohort effect on the association between height
321 and HNC, although we accounted for that by adjusting for age at diagnosis and showing the
322 effect estimates in each study.

323 In conclusion, in the present project of a large pool of case-control studies, taller men and women
324 experienced a lower risk of HNC, controlling for potential confounding due to smoking, alcohol,
325 and educational level. As it is thought that associations between height, birth weight, and cancer
326 risk reflect some causal association with a combination of genetics, hormonal, nutritional, and
327 other factors [21], we believe that the biological mechanisms underlying the association between
328 height and HNC warrants further investigation.

329 A Mendelian Randomization approach has been recently suggested to address the aforementioned
330 research question [53]. By using the genes that regulate the height as a proxy of the effect of
331 measured adult height in the association between height and cancer, we would expect to dissect
332 the true effect of height on HNC, without confounding by environmental variables.
333

334 **Acknowledgments**

335 The authors would like to thank all of the participants who took part in this research for providing
336 us very insightful and constructive comments, which helped improve this manuscript.

337

338 **Funding**

339 The individual studies were supported by the following grants: Central Europe study: World
340 Cancer Research Fund and the European Commission INCO-COPERNICUS Program (IC15-
341 CT98-0332). France study (2001-2007): French National Research Agency (ANR); French
342 National Cancer Institute (INCA); French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational
343 Health and Safety (ANSES); French Association for Research on Cancer (ARC); Fondation pour
344 la Recherche Médicale (FRM); French Institute for Public Health Surveillance (InVS); Fondation
345 de France; Ministry of Labour; Ministry of Health. Saarland study: Ministry of Science, Research
346 and Arts Baden-Wurttemberg. Aviano study: Italian Association for Research on Cancer (AIRC),
347 Italian League Against Cancer and Italian Ministry of Research. Milan study (1984-1989): Italian
348 Association for Research on Cancer (AIRC). Milan study (2006-2009): Italian Association for
349 Research on Cancer (AIRC, grant n. 10068) and Italian Ministry of Education (PRIN 2009
350 X8YCBN). Italy Multicenter study: Italian Association for Research on Cancer (AIRC), Italian
351 League Against Cancer and Italian Ministry of Research. Rome study (2010-2013): AIRC (Italian
352 Agency for Research on Cancer), n. 10491. Swiss study: Swiss League against Cancer and the
353 Swiss Research against Cancer/Oncosuisse (KFS-700, OCS-1633). Western Europe study:
354 European Community (5th Framework Programme) (QLK1-CT-2001- 00182). Boston study:
355 National Institutes of Health (NIH) US (R01CA078609, R01CA100679). Los Angeles study:
356 National Institute of Health (NIH) US (P50CA090388, R01DA011386, R03CA077954,
357 T32CA009142, U01CA096134, R21ES011667) and the Alper Research Program for

358 Environmental Genomics of the UCLA Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center. MSKCC study:
359 NIH (R01CA051845). New York Multicenter study: National Institutes of Health (NIH) US
360 (P01CA068384 K07CA104231). North Carolina (1994-1997): National Institutes of Health
361 (NIH) US (R01CA061188), and in part by a grant from the National Institute of Environmental
362 Health Sciences (P30ES010126). Seattle-LEO study: NIH (R01CA030022). Seattle study:
363 National Institutes of Health (NIH) US (R01CA048996, R01DE012609). Tampa study: National
364 Institutes of Health (NIH) US (P01CA068384, K07CA104231, R01DE013158). US Multicenter
365 study: The Intramural Program of the NCI, NIH, United States. Puerto Rico study: jointly funded
366 by National Institutes of Health (NCI) US and NIDCR intramural programs. Latin America
367 study: Fondo para la Investigacion Cientifica y Tecnologica (FONCYT) Argentina, IMIM
368 (Barcelona), Fundaco de Amparo a` Pesquisa no Estado de Sao Paulo (FAPESP) (No 01/01768-
369 2), and European Commission (IC18-CT97-0222). Japan (1988-2000 and 2001-2005): Scientific
370 Research grant from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, Culture and Technology of
371 Japan (17015052) and grant for the Third-Term Comprehensive 10-Year Strategy for Cancer
372 Control from the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare of Japan (H20-002). IARC Multicenter
373 study: Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias (FIS) of the Spanish Government (FIS 97/ 0024, FIS
374 97/0662, BAE 01/5013), International Union Against Cancer (UICC), and Yamagiwa-Yoshida
375 Memorial International Cancer Study Grant. The work of EL was supported by Fondazione
376 Veronesi.

377

378

379

380

381

382 **REFERENCES**

- 383 1. Ferlay J SH, Bay F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. GLOBOCAN 2008 cancer incidence
384 and mortality worldwide: IARC CancerBase No.10. Lyon, France: International Agency for
385 Research on Cancer, 2010.
- 386 2. Lee YC, Boffetta P, Sturgis EM, Wei Q, Zhang ZF, Muscat J et al. Involuntary smoking and
387 head and neck cancer risk: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer
388 Epidemiology Consortium. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2008;17(8):1974-81.
389 doi:17/8/1974 [pii]
390 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-0047.
- 391 3. Secretan B, Straif K, Baan R, Grosse Y, El Ghissassi F, Bouvard V et al. A review of human
392 carcinogens--Part E: tobacco, areca nut, alcohol, coal smoke, and salted fish. *Lancet Oncol.*
393 2009;10(11):1033-4.
- 394 4. IARC. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans, volume 90,
395 human papillomaviruses. Lyon, 2007.
- 396 5. Gaudet MM, Olshan AF, Chuang SC, Berthiller J, Zhang ZF, Lissowska J et al. Body mass
397 index and risk of head and neck cancer in a pooled analysis of case-control studies in the
398 International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology (INHANCE) Consortium. *Int J Epidemiol.*
399 2010;39(4):1091-102. doi:dyp380 [pii]
400 10.1093/ije/dyp380.
- 401 6. Nicolotti N, Chuang SC, Cadoni G, Arzani D, Petrelli L, Bosetti C et al. Recreational physical
402 activity and risk of head and neck cancer: a pooled analysis within the international head and
403 neck cancer epidemiology (INHANCE) Consortium. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2011;26(8):619-28.
404 doi:10.1007/s10654-011-9612-3.

- 405 7. Chuang SC, Jenab M, Heck JE, Bosetti C, Talamini R, Matsuo K et al. Diet and the risk of
406 head and neck cancer: a pooled analysis in the INHANCE consortium. *Cancer Causes Control*.
407 2012;23(1):69-88. doi:10.1007/s10552-011-9857-x.
- 408 8. Conway DI, McKinney PA, McMahon AD, Ahrens W, Schmeisser N, Benhamou S et al.
409 Socioeconomic factors associated with risk of upper aerodigestive tract cancer in Europe. *Eur J*
410 *Cancer*. 2010;46(3):588-98. doi:S0959-8049(09)00725-4 [pii]
411 10.1016/j.ejca.2009.09.028.
- 412 9. Negri E, Boffetta P, Berthiller J, Castellsague X, Curado MP, Dal Maso L et al. Family history
413 of cancer: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium.
414 *Int J Cancer*. 2009;124(2):394-401. doi:10.1002/ijc.23848.
- 415 10. Sung J, Song YM, Lawlor DA, Smith GD, Ebrahim S. Height and site-specific cancer risk: A
416 cohort study of a korean adult population. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2009;170(1):53-64. doi:kwp088 [pii]
417 10.1093/aje/kwp088.
- 418 11. Green J, Cairns BJ, Casabonne D, Wright FL, Reeves G, Beral V. Height and cancer
419 incidence in the Million Women Study: prospective cohort, and meta-analysis of prospective
420 studies of height and total cancer risk. *Lancet Oncol*. 2011;12(8):785-94. doi:S1470-
421 2045(11)70154-1 [pii]
422 10.1016/S1470-2045(11)70154-1.
- 423 12. Kabat GC, Heo M, Kamensky V, Miller AB, Rohan TE. Adult height in relation to risk of
424 cancer in a cohort of Canadian women. *Int J Cancer*. 2012. doi:10.1002/ijc.27704.
- 425 13. Albanes D, Winick M. Are cell number and cell proliferation risk factors for cancer? *J Natl*
426 *Cancer Inst*. 1988;80(10):772-4.
- 427 14. Gunnell D, Okasha M, Smith GD, Oliver SE, Sandhu J, Holly JM. Height, leg length, and
428 cancer risk: a systematic review. *Epidemiol Rev*. 2001;23(2):313-42.

- 429 15. Batty GD, Shipley MJ, Langenberg C, Marmot MG, Davey Smith G. Adult height in relation
430 to mortality from 14 cancer sites in men in London (UK): evidence from the original Whitehall
431 study. *Ann Oncol*. 2006;17(1):157-66. doi:mdj018 [pii]
432 10.1093/annonc/mdj018.
- 433 16. Pischon T, Lahmann PH, Boeing H, Friedenreich C, Norat T, Tjønneland A et al. Body size
434 and risk of colon and rectal cancer in the European Prospective Investigation Into Cancer and
435 Nutrition (EPIC). *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2006;98(13):920-31. doi:98/13/920 [pii]
436 10.1093/jnci/djj246.
- 437 17. Olsen CM, Green AC, Zens MS, Stukel TA, Bataille V, Berwick M et al. Anthropometric
438 factors and risk of melanoma in women: a pooled analysis. *Int J Cancer*. 2008;122(5):1100-8.
439 doi:10.1002/ijc.23214.
- 440 18. Schouten LJ, Rivera C, Hunter DJ, Spiegelman D, Adami HO, Arslan A et al. Height, body
441 mass index, and ovarian cancer: a pooled analysis of 12 cohort studies. *Cancer Epidemiol
442 Biomarkers Prev*. 2008;17(4):902-12. doi:1055-9965.EPI-07-2524 [pii]
443 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-07-2524.
- 444 19. Zuccolo L, Harris R, Gunnell D, Oliver S, Lane JA, Davis M et al. Height and prostate cancer
445 risk: a large nested case-control study (ProtecT) and meta-analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol
446 Biomarkers Prev*. 2008;17(9):2325-36. doi:17/9/2325 [pii]
447 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-0342.
- 448 20. Lerro CC, McGlynn KA, Cook MB. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the
449 relationship between body size and testicular cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 2010;103(9):1467-74.
450 doi:6605934 [pii]
451 10.1038/sj.bjc.6605934.

- 452 21. World Cancer Research Fund / American Institute for Cancer Research. Food, Nutrition,
453 Physical Activity, and the Prevention of Cancer: a Global Perspective. Washington DC: AICR,
454 2007.
- 455 22. Batty GD, Barzi F, Woodward M, Jamrozik K, Woo J, Kim HC et al. Adult height and cancer
456 mortality in Asia: the Asia Pacific Cohort Studies Collaboration. *Ann Oncol.* 2010;21(3):646-54.
457 doi:mdp363 [pii]
458 10.1093/annonc/mdp363.
- 459 23. Adult height and the risk of cause-specific death and vascular morbidity in 1 million people:
460 individual participant meta-analysis. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2012;41(5):1419-33. doi:dys086 [pii]
461 10.1093/ije/dys086.
- 462 24. La Vecchia C, Negri E, Parazzini F, Boyle P, D'Avanzo B, Levi F et al. Height and cancer
463 risk in a network of case-control studies from northern Italy. *Int J Cancer.* 1990;45(2):275-9.
- 464 25. D'Avanzo B, La Vecchia C, Talamini R, Franceschi S. Anthropometric measures and risk of
465 cancers of the upper digestive and respiratory tract. *Nutr Cancer.* 1996;26(2):219-27.
466 doi:10.1080/01635589609514478.
- 467 26. Davey Smith G, Hart C, Upton M, Hole D, Gillis C, Watt G et al. Height and risk of death
468 among men and women: aetiological implications of associations with cardiorespiratory disease
469 and cancer mortality. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 2000;54(2):97-103.
- 470 27. Jousilahti P, Tuomilehto J, Vartiainen E, Eriksson J, Puska P. Relation of adult height to
471 cause-specific and total mortality: a prospective follow-up study of 31,199 middle-aged men and
472 women in Finland. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2000;151(11):1112-20.
- 473 28. Eveleth PB. Population differences in growth: environmental and genetic factors. In: Falkner
474 F, Tanner JM, eds. *Human growth 3. Neurobiology and nutrition.* New York, NY: Plenum
475 Publishing Corporation, 1979:373-94.

- 476 29. Proos LA. Anthropometry in adolescence--secular trends, adoption, ethnic and environmental
477 differences. *Horm Res.* 1993;39 Suppl 3:18-24.
- 478 30. Peck MN, Lundberg O. Short stature as an effect of economic and social conditions in
479 childhood. *Soc Sci Med.* 1995;41(5):733-8. doi:0277953694003798 [pii].
- 480 31. Clayton PE, Banerjee I, Murray PG, Renehan AG. Growth hormone, the insulin-like growth
481 factor axis, insulin and cancer risk. *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* 2011;7(1):11-24. doi:nrendo.2010.171
482 [pii]
483 10.1038/nrendo.2010.171.
- 484 32. Renehan AG, Zwahlen M, Minder C, O'Dwyer ST, Shalet SM, Egger M. Insulin-like growth
485 factor (IGF)-I, IGF binding protein-3, and cancer risk: systematic review and meta-regression
486 analysis. *Lancet.* 2004;363(9418):1346-53. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16044-3
487 S0140-6736(04)16044-3 [pii].
- 488 33. Conway DI, Hashibe M, Boffetta P, Wunsch-Filho V, Muscat J, La Vecchia C et al.
489 Enhancing epidemiologic research on head and neck cancer: INHANCE - The international head
490 and neck cancer epidemiology consortium. *Oral Oncol.* 2009;45(9):743-6. doi:S1368-
491 8375(09)00046-3 [pii]
492 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2009.02.007.
- 493 34. Hashibe M, Brennan P, Benhamou S, Castellsague X, Chen C, Curado MP et al. Alcohol
494 drinking in never users of tobacco, cigarette smoking in never drinkers, and the risk of head and
495 neck cancer: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology
496 Consortium. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2007;99(10):777-89. doi:99/10/777 [pii]
497 10.1093/jnci/djk179.
- 498 35. Physical status: the use and interpretation of anthropometry. Report of a WHO Expert
499 Committee. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser.* 1995;854:1-452.

- 500 36. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses.
501 BMJ. 2003;327(7414):557-60. doi:10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557
502 327/7414/557 [pii].
- 503 37. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Spiegelhalter DJ. A re-evaluation of random-effects meta-
504 analysis. J R Stat Soc Ser A Stat Soc. 2009;172(1):137-59. doi:10.1111/j.1467-
505 985X.2008.00552.x.
- 506 38. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March
507 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org. In:
508 Higgins JPT, Green S, editor.
- 509 39. Kuh DL, Power C, Rodgers B. Secular trends in social class and sex differences in adult
510 height. Int J Epidemiol. 1991;20(4):1001-9.
- 511 40. Magnusson PK, Rasmussen F, Gyllenstein UB. Height at age 18 years is a strong predictor of
512 attained education later in life: cohort study of over 950,000 Swedish men. Int J Epidemiol.
513 2006;35(3):658-63. doi:dyl011 [pii]
514 10.1093/ije/dyl011.
- 515 41. Hauspie RC, Vercauteren M, Susanne C. Secular changes in growth. Horm Res. 1996;45
516 Suppl 2:8-17.
- 517 42. Gunnell D. Can adult anthropometry be used as a 'biomarker' for prenatal and childhood
518 exposures? Int J Epidemiol. 2002;31(2):390-4.
- 519 43. McCarron P, Okasha M, McEwen J, Smith GD. Height in young adulthood and risk of death
520 from cardiorespiratory disease: a prospective study of male former students of Glasgow
521 University, Scotland. Am J Epidemiol. 2002;155(8):683-7.

- 522 44. Lee CM, Barzi F, Woodward M, Batty GD, Giles GG, Wong JW et al. Adult height and the
523 risks of cardiovascular disease and major causes of death in the Asia-Pacific region: 21,000
524 deaths in 510,000 men and women. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2009;38(4):1060-71. doi:dyp150 [pii]
525 10.1093/ije/dyp150.
- 526 45. Conway DI, Petticrew M, Marlborough H, Berthiller J, Hashibe M, Macpherson LM.
527 Socioeconomic inequalities and oral cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis of case-
528 control studies. *Int J Cancer.* 2008;122(12):2811-9. doi:10.1002/ijc.23430.
- 529 46. Silventoinen K. Determinants of variation in adult body height. *J Biosoc Sci.* 2003;35(2):263-
530 85.
- 531 47. Meyer HE, Selmer R. Income, educational level and body height. *Ann Hum Biol.*
532 1999;26(3):219-27.
- 533 48. (OECD) TOFEC-oad. Economic Policy Reforms: Going for Growth 2010. Chapter 5, Part II,
534 2010.
- 535 49. Patel P, Mendall MA, Khulusi S, Northfield TC, Strachan DP. Helicobacter pylori infection
536 in childhood: risk factors and effect on growth. *BMJ.* 1994;309(6962):1119-23.
- 537 50. La Vecchia C. Hypothesis: is the fall in Helicobacter pylori related to the global rise in body
538 mass index? *Eur J Cancer Prev.* 2011;20(6):556. doi:10.1097/CEJ.0b013e32834a8018.
- 539 51. Gunnell D, May M, Ben-Shlomo Y, Yarnell J, Smith GD. Height, leg length, and cancer: the
540 Caerphilly Study. *Nutr Cancer.* 2003;47(1):34-9. doi:10.1207/s15327914nc4701_4.
- 541 52. Spencer EA, Appleby PN, Davey GK, Key TJ. Validity of self-reported height and weight in
542 4808 EPIC-Oxford participants. *Public Health Nutr.* 2002;5(4):561-5. doi:10.1079/PHN2001322
543 S1368980002000782 [pii].

544 53. Cook MB, Chia VM, Berndt SI, Graubard BI, Chanock SJ, Rubertone MV et al. Genetic
545 contributions to the association between adult height and testicular germ cell tumors. *Int J*
546 *Epidemiol.* 2011;40(3):731-9. doi:dyq260 [pii]
547 10.1093/ije/dyq260.

Table 1 - Description of the 24 INHANCE studies included in the analysis of height and the risk of head and neck cancer

Study location	Age eligibility	Control source	Recruitment period	Cases/ Controls	Site of tumour (#)			
					oral cavity	pharynx	oral/pharynx NOS	larynx
Europe								
Central Europe	≥15	Hospital based	1998-2003	762/907	196	150	32	384
France, Paris	18-<75	Population based	2002-2005	2,237/3,555	468	1105	155	509
Germany, Saarland	50-75	Population based	2001-2003	94/94	15	43	9	27
Italy, Aviano	>18	Hospital based	1987-1992	482/855	85	218	33	146
Italy, Milan (1)	<80	Hospital based	1984-1989	416/1,531	48	61	65	242
Italy, Milan (2)	18-80	Hospital based	2006-2009	368/755	85	38	18	227
Italy Multicenter	18-80	Hospital based	1990-1996	1,260/2,715	209	502	90	459
Switzerland	<80	Hospital based	1991-1997	516/883	138	247	7	124
Western Europe	na	Hospital based (§)	2000-2005	1,728/1,989	482	593	106	539
North America								
Boston, MA	18-90	Population based	2003	584/659	139	291	43	111
Los Angeles, CA	<65	Population based	1999-2004	428/1,038	53	173	112	90
New York, NY	na	Hospital based	1992-1994	139/169	72	23	2	42
New York Multicenter	21-80	Hospital based	1981-1990	1,118/904	536	518	64	0
North Carolina (1)	>17	Hospital based	1994-1997	180/202	42	61	25	52
North Carolina (2)	20-80	Population based	2002-2006	1,368/1,396	194	442	251	481
Seattle, WA (1)	20-74	Population based	1983-1987	656/547	183	211	47	209
Seattle, WA (2)	18-65	Population based	1992-1995	284/477	157	116	11	0
Tampa, FL	≥18	Hospital based	1994-2000	208/898	22	58	65	63
US Multicenter	18-79	Population based	1983-1984	1,114/1,268	386	510	218	0
Central and South America								
South America	15-79	Hospital based	2000-2003	1,295/1,029	279	267	81	612
Puerto Rico	21-79	Population based	1992-1995	351/520	94	200	57	0
Asia								
Japan (1)	18-79	Hospital based	1988-2000	402/1,532	119	85	198	0
Japan (2)	20-79	Hospital based	2001-2005	526/3,102	116	154	166	90
Multi-Regional								
IARC Multicenter	na	Hospital based	1992-1997	1,150/1,173	596	188	115	0

Total 17,666/28,198 4,714 6,254 1,970 4,407

na = not available, NOS = not otherwise specified

(§) Population-based for UK centers

Italy, Milan (1)=1984-89 and (2)=2006-09; North Carolina (1)=1994-97 and (2)=2002-06; Seattle, WA (1)=1983-87 and (2)=1992-95; Japan (1)=1988-2000 and (#) 321 overlapping head and neck cases were included: Western Europe, n=8; Seattle WA (1), n=6; South America, n=56; IARC Multicenter, n=251

This table does not include subjects that do not meet the inclusion criteria

Table 2 - Characteristics of the 17,666 head and cancer (HNC) cases and 28,198 controls from the 24 studies reporting on height within INHANCE

Characteristics	Men				Women			
	Cases (n=13,792)		Controls (n=20,280)		Cases (n=3,874)		Controls (n=7,918)	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
<i>Age (years)</i>								
<50	2,501	18.1	4,092	20.2	719	18.6	1,827	23.1
50-59	4,896	35.5	6,481	32.0	1,150	29.7	2,236	28.2
60-69	4,431	32.1	6,556	32.3	1,224	31.6	2,314	29.2
≥70	1,964	14.2	3,151	15.5	781	20.2	1,541	19.5
<i>Body mass index (kg/m²)</i>								
<18.5	859	6.7	430	2.2	507	14.2	347	4.6
18.5-24.9	7,019	54.4	8,544	43.5	1,937	54.4	3,830	50.4
25.0-25.9	3,821	29.6	8,107	41.3	717	20.1	2,202	29.0
≥30.0	1,194	9.3	2,541	12.9	400	11.2	1,223	16.1
<i>Height (cm)</i>								
<160	630	4.8	922	4.6	1,582	43.0	3,137	40.5
160-169	3,865	29.2	5,971	30.0	1,662	45.2	3,676	47.4
170-179	6,330	47.8	9,567	48.1	419	11.4	897	11.6
180-189	2,229	16.8	3,132	15.7	11	0.3	37	0.5
≥190	175	1.3	295	1.5	3	0.1	1	0.0
<i>Educational level</i>								
No education	338	2.5	545	2.7	329	8.6	389	4.9
< Junior high school	4,919	36.4	6,280	31.2	972	25.4	2,542	32.2
Some high school	3,071	22.7	3,924	19.5	808	21.1	1,292	16.4
High school graduate	1,761	13.0	2,223	11.0	577	15.0	936	11.9
Technical school, some college	1,997	14.8	3,668	18.2	773	20.2	1,558	19.8
> College graduate	1,421	10.5	3,513	17.4	375	9.8	1,169	14.8
<i>Cigarette smoking status</i>								
Never	1,142	8.3	5,841	28.9	1,294	33.5	5,100	64.6
Former	4,396	32.0	8,409	41.6	646	16.7	1,510	19.1
Current	8,213	59.7	5,980	29.6	1,926	49.8	1,290	16.3

<i>Years of smoking</i>		<i>Number of cigarettes per day</i>		<i>Alcohol drinking status</i>		<i>Drinks per day</i>		
≤10	405	3.2	1,572	11.0	108	4.2	496	17.8
11-20	778	6.2	2,487	17.4	186	7.3	572	20.6
21-30	2,299	18.3	3,407	23.8	489	19.1	702	25.2
31-40	4,347	34.7	3,664	25.6	898	35.1	597	21.5
>40	4,703	37.5	3,159	22.1	875	34.2	416	14.9
	12,532							
≤10	1,383	11.3	3,389	25.3	541	21.4	1,209	44.4
11-20	5,142	41.9	5,811	43.3	1,025	40.5	1,019	37.4
21-30	2,549	20.8	1,987	14.8	488	19.3	256	9.4
31-40	2,116	17.3	1,394	10.4	347	13.7	163	6.0
>40	1,073	8.7	834	6.2	132	5.2	76	2.8
Never	663	6.7	2,041	15.8	976	35.3	2,545	45.0
Former	2,384	24.0	2,006	15.6	524	19.0	590	10.4
Current	6,889	69.3	8,852	68.6	1,265	45.8	2,521	44.6
Never	851	6.6	3,059	16.1	1,214	33.2	3,433	45.2
<1	2,010	15.6	5,694	30.0	1,237	33.8	2,828	37.2
1-2	2,992	23.1	5,157	27.2	655	17.9	1,081	14.2
3-4	2,079	16.1	2,427	12.8	250	6.8	173	2.3
≥5	4,993	38.6	2,623	13.8	306	8.4	77	1.0

Table 3 - Distribution of age and selected risk factors by quartiles of height (cm), by sex, INHANCE controls

	Men				Women			
	<169	169-173	174-178	>178	<157	157-160	161-165	>165
Number of subjects	6,148	5,141	4,188	4,408	2,079	1,986	1,862	1,821
Age (years)	60.5 (±10.2)	58.3 (±10.7)	57.1 (±10.7)	56.3 (±11.0)	60.0 (±12.0)	58.1 (±12.1)	57.8 (±12.1)	56.0 (±12.6)
Low educational level	48.7%	36.9%	27.3%	16.6%	48.2%	39.5%	33.4%	26.2%
Current cigarette smokers	33.4%	29.3%	28.5%	25.3%	12.3%	15.8%	17.7%	20.0%
Current alcohol drinkers	58.9%	72.4%	73.9%	70.4%	30.6%	44.3%	50.6%	53.6%

Mean ± SD or percentage

Low educational level = No education or ≤ junior high school

Figure 1 - Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) per 10 cm increase in height in relation to head and neck cancer risk, by sex, in 24 INHANCE studies

OR adjusted by age, education level, smoking status, cigarette duration, cigarette intensity, alcohol intensity.

Italy, Milan (1)=1996-99 and (2)=2006-09; North Carolina, USA (1)=1994-97 and (2)=2002-06;

Seattle, WA, USA (1)=1983-87 and (2)=1992-95; Japan (1)=1988-2000 and (2)=2001-05

Figure 2 - Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) per 10 cm increase in height according to geographic area, study design, cancer site, and selected characteristics, by sex, in 24 INHANCE studies

OR adjusted as appropriate for age, education level, smoking status, cigarette duration, cigarette intensity, alcohol intensity, and study center



